arXiv:1412.7836v1 [math.PR] 25 Dec 2014

Inhomogeneous Lévy processes in Lie groups and homogeneous spaces

Ming Liao (Auburn University)

Summary We obtain a representation of an inhomogeneous Lévy process in a Lie group or a homogeneous space in terms of a drift, a matrix function and a measure function. Because the stochastic continuity is not assumed, our result generalizes the well known Lévy-Itô representation for stochastic continuous processes with independent increments in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and its extension to Lie groups.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 60J25, Secondary 58J65.

Key words and phrases Lévy processes, Lie groups, homogeneous spaces.

1 Introduction

Let x_t, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, be a process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with rcll paths (right continuous paths with left limits). It is said to have independent increments if for $s < t$, $x_t - x_s$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s^x$ (the σ-algebra generated by x_u, $0 \leq u \leq s$). The process is called a Lévy process if it also has stationary increments, that is, if $x_t - x_s$ has the same distribution as $x_{t-s} - x_0$. It is well known that the class of Lévy processes in $\mathbb{R}^d$ coincides with the class of rcll Markov processes with translation-invariant transition functions P_t. The celebrated Lévy -Khinchin formula provides a useful representation of a Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ by a triple of a drift vector, a covariance matrix and a Lévy measure.

More generally, a rcll process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with independent but possibly non-stationary increments may be called an inhomogeneous Lévy process. It is easy to show that such processes, also called additive processes in literature, coincide with rcll inhomogeneous Markov processes with translation invariant two-parameter transition functions $P_{s,t}$ (see [14]).

A Lévy process x_t is always stochastically continuous, that is, $x_t = x_{t-}$ a.s. (almost surely) for any fixed $t > 0$. An inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t may not be stochastically continuous, but if it is, then the well known Lévy-Itô representation holds ([8, chapter 15]):

$$x_t = x_0 + b_t + B_t + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{|x|\leq 1} x\tilde{N}(ds, dx) + \int_{[0,t]} \int_{|x|>1} xN(ds, dx), \tag{1}$$

where b_t is a continuous path in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $b_0 = 0$, called a drift, $B_t = (B_t^1, \ldots, B_t^d)$ is a d-dim continuous Gaussian process of zero mean and independent increments, $N(dt, dx)$ is an independent Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with intensity $\eta = E(N)$ being a Lévy measure function (to be defined later), and $\tilde{N} = N - \eta$ is the compensated form of N.

The distribution of the Gaussian process B_t is determined by its covariance matrix function $A_{ij}(t) = E(B_t^i B_t^j)$ and the distribution of the Poisson random measure N is determined

by its intensity measure η. Thus, the distribution of a stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is completely determined by the time-dependent triple (b, A, η).

In general, an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t may not be a semimartingale (see [7, II]). By Itô's formula, it can be shown that the process $z_t = x_t - b_t$ is a semi-martingale and for any smooth function $f(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ of compact support,

$$f(z_t) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\int_0^t f_{ij}(z_s)dA_{ij}(s) - \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[f(z_s+x) - f(z_s) - \sum_i x_i f_i(z_s)1_{[|x|\le 1]}]\eta(ds,dx) \quad (2)$$

is a martingale, where f_i and f_{ij} denote respectively the first and second order partial derivatives of f. This in fact provides a complete characterization for the distribution of a stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

This martingale representation is extended to stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy process in a general Lie group G in [3], generalizing an earlier result in [13] for continuous processes. A different form of martingale representation in terms of the abstract Fourier analysis is obtained in [5], where the processes considered are also stochastically continuous.

A Lévy process x_t in a Lie group G is defined as a rcll process with independent and stationary (multiplicative) increments, that is, for $s < t$, $x_s^{-1}x_t$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s^x$ and has the same distribution as $x_0^{-1}x_{t-s}$. Such a process may also be characterized as a rcll Markov process in G whose transition function P_t is invariant under left translations on G. The classical triple representation of Lévy processes was extended to Lie groups in [6] in the form of a generator formula. A functional form of Lévy-Itô representation for Lévy processes in Lie groups was obtained in [1].

An inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in a Lie group G is defined to be a rcll process that has independent but not necessarily stationary increments, which may also be characterized as an inhomogeneous rcll Markov process with a left invariant transition function $P_{s,t}$ (see Proposition 1). As mentioned earlier, the stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy processes in G may be represented by a martingale determined by a triple (b, A, η).

The notion of Lévy processes as invariant Markov processes, including inhomogeneous ones, may be extended to more general homogeneous spaces, such as a sphere. A homogeneous space G/K may be regarded as a manifold X under the transitive action of a Lie group G with K being the subgroup that fixes a point in X. As on a Lie group G, a Markov process in X with a G-invariant transition function P_t will be called a Lévy process, and an inhomogeneous Markov process with a G-invariant transition function $P_{s,t}$ will be called an inhomogeneous Lévy process. Although there is no natural product on $X = G/K$, the increments of a process in X may be properly defined, and a Lévy process in X may be characterized by independent and stationary increments, with inhomogeneous ones just by independent increments, same as on G. See section §7 for more details.

The purpose of this paper is to study the representation of inhomogeneous Lévy processes,

not necessarily stochastically continuous, in a Lie group G or a homogeneous space G/K. We will show that such a process is represented by a triple (b, A, η) with possibly discontinuous b_t and $\eta(t, \cdot) = \eta([0, t] \times \cdot)$. This is in contrast to the stochastically continuous case when the triple is continuous in t. A non-stochastically-continuous process may have a fixed jump, that is, a time $t > 0$ such that $P(x_t \neq x_{t-}) > 0$. It turns out to be quite non-trivial to handle fixed jumps which may form a countable dense subset of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

Our result applied to $\mathbb{R}^n$ leads to a martingale representation of inhomogeneous Lévy processes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for which the martingale (2) contains an extra term:

$$-\sum_{u \leq t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [f(z_{u-} + x - h_u) - f(z_{u-})] \nu_u(dx),$$

where ν_u is the distribution of the fixed jump at time u with mean h_u. This complements the Fourier transform representation on $\mathbb{R}^n$ obtained in [7, II.5].

We will obtain the representation of inhomogeneous Lévy processes not only on a Lie group G but also on a homogeneous space G/K. For this purpose, we will formulate a product structure and develop certain invariance properties on G/K so that the formulas obtained on G, and their proofs, may be carried over to G/K. We will also show that an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G/K is the natural projection of an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G. On an irreducible G/K, such as a sphere, the representation takes a very simple form: there is no drift and the covariance matrix function $A(t) = a(t)I$ for some function $a(t)$. This is even simpler than the representation on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Our interest in non-stochastically-continuous inhomogeneous Lévy processes lies in the following application. Let x_t be a Markov process in a manifold X invariant under the action of a Lie group G. It is shown in [10] that x_t may be decomposed into a radial part y_t, transversal to G-orbits, and an angular part z_t, in a standard G-orbit Z. The y_t can be an arbitrary Markov process in a transversal subspace, whereas given y_t, the conditioned z_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in the homogeneous space $Z = G/K$. For example, a Markov process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ invariant under the group $O(n)$ of orthogonal transformations is decomposed into a radial Markov process in a half line and an angular process in the unit sphere.

In [10], the representation of stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy processes in G/K is used to obtain a skew product decomposition of a G-invariant continuous Markov process x_t in which the angular part z_t is a time changed Brownian motion in G/K, generalizing the well known skew product of Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

When the G-invariant Markov process x_t is discontinuous, its conditioned angular part z_t is typically not stochastically continuous. For example, a discontinuous $O(n)$-invariant Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is stochastically continuous, but its conditioned angular part is not. The present result will provide a useful tool in this situation.

We note that an important related problem, the weak convergence of convolution prod-

ucts of probability measures to a two-parameter convolution semigroup, which is the distribution of an inhomogeneous Lévy process, is not pursued in this paper. The stochastically continuous case is studied in [12] and [11].

Our paper is organized as follows. The main results on Lie groups are stated in the next section with proofs given in the four sections to follow. In §3, we establish the martingale representation, associated to a triple (b, A, η), for a given inhomogeneous Lévy process, under two technical assumptions (A) and (B). These assumptions are verified in §4. We then prove the uniqueness of the triple for a given process in §5, and the uniqueness and the existence of the process for a given triple in §6. The results on homogeneous spaces are presented in §7. We follow the basic ideas in [3], but with many changes, not only to deal with fixed jumps but also to clarify some obscure arguments in [3]. To save the space, we rely on some results proved in the first half of [3] (which is relatively easier than the second half), and have to omit some tedious computations after having stated the main technical points.

All processes are assumed to be defined on the infinite time interval $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, but it is clear that the results also hold on a finite time interval. For a manifold X, let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the Borel σ-field on X and let $\mathcal{B}_+(X)$ be the space of nonnegative Borel functions on X. Let $C(X)$, $C_b(X)$ and $C_c^\infty(X)$ be respectively the spaces of continuous functions, bounded continuous functions, and smooth functions with compact supports on X.

2 Inhomogeneous Lévy processes in Lie groups

Let x_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in a Lie group G. By definition, x_t is a rcll process in G with independent increments, that is, $x_s^{-1}x_t$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s^x$ for $s < t$. It becomes a Lévy process in G if it also has stationary increments, that is, if the distribution of $x_s^{-1}x_t$ depends only on $t - s$. Let $\mu_{s,t}$ be the distribution of $x_s^{-1}x_t$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(G)$,

$$E[f(x_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s^x] = E[f(x_s x_s^{-1} x_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s^x] = \int_G f(x_s y)\mu_{s,t}(dy).$$

This shows that x_t is an inhomogeneous Markov process with transition function $P_{s,t}$ given by $P_{s,t}f(x) = \int f(xy)\mu_{s,t}(dy)$.

Note that x_t is left invariant in the sense that its transition function $P_{s,t}$ is left invariant, that is, $P_{s,t}(f \circ l_g) = (P_{s,t}f) \circ l_g$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(G)$ and $g \in G$, where l_g is the left translation $x \mapsto gx$ on G. Conversely, if x_t is a left invariant inhomogeneous Markov process in G, then $E[f(x_s^{-1}x_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s^x] = P_{s,t}(f \circ l_{x_s^{-1}})(x_s) = P_{s,t}f(e)$, where e is the identity element of G. This implies that x_t has independent increments. We have proved the following result.

Proposition 1 *A rcll process x_t in G is an inhomogeneous Lévy process if and only if it is a left invariant inhomogeneous Markov process.*

Note that the proof of Proposition 1 may be slightly modified to show that a rcll process in G is a Lévy process if and only it is a Markov process with a left invariant transition function P_t (see also [9, Proposition 1.2]).

A measure function on G is a family of σ-finite measures $\eta(t,\cdot)$ on G, $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $\eta(s,\cdot) \le \eta(t,\cdot)$ for $s < t$, and $\eta(t,\cdot) \downarrow \eta(s,\cdot)$ as $t \downarrow s \ge 0$. Here the limit is set-wise, that is, $\eta(t,B) \to \eta(s,B)$ for $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. The left limit $\eta(t-,\cdot)$ at $t > 0$, defined as the nondecreasing limit of measures $\eta(s,\cdot)$ as $s \uparrow t$, exists and is $\le \eta(t,\cdot)$.

A measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$ may be regarded as a σ-finite measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G$ and may be written as $\eta(dt,dx)$, given by $\eta((s,\,t] \times B) = \eta(t,B) - \eta(s,B)$ for $s < t$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. Conversely, any measure η on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times G$ such that $\eta(t,\cdot) = \eta([0,\,t] \times \cdot)$ is a σ-finite measure on G for any $t > 0$ may be identified with the measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$.

A measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$ is called continuous at $t > 0$ if $\eta(t,\cdot) = \eta(t-,\cdot)$, and continuous if it is continuous at all $t > 0$. In general, the set $J = \{t > 0\colon\ \eta(t,G) > \eta(t-,G)\}$, of discontinuity times, is at most countable, and

$$\eta(t,\cdot) = \eta^c(t,\cdot) + \sum_{s \le t,\, s \in J} \eta(\{s\} \times \cdot), \tag{3}$$

where $\eta^c(t,\cdot) = \int_{[0,\,t] \cap J^c} \eta(ds,\cdot)$ is a continuous measure function, called the continuous part of $\eta(t,\cdot)$, and $\eta(\{s\} \times \cdot) = \eta(s,\cdot) - \eta(s-,\cdot)$

Recall e is the identity element of G. The jump intensity measure of an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t is the measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$ on G defined by

$$\eta(t,B) = E\{\#\{s \in (0,\,t];\ \ x_{s-}^{-1}x_s \in B \text{ and } x_{s-}^{-1}x_s \ne e\}\}, \qquad B \in \mathcal{B}(G), \tag{4}$$

the expected number of jumps in B by time t. The required σ-finiteness of $\eta(t,\cdot)$ will be clear from Proposition 7 later, and then the required right continuity, $\eta(t,\cdot) \downarrow \eta(s,\cdot)$ as $t \downarrow s \ge 0$, follows from (4). It is clear that the process x_t is continuous if and only if $\eta = 0$.

Note that $\eta(0,\cdot) = 0$ and $\eta(t,\{e\}) = 0$, and for $t > 0$, $\eta(\{t\} \times G) \le 1$ and

$$\nu_t = \eta(\{t\} \times \cdot) + [1 - \eta(\{t\} \times G)]\delta_e \quad \text{(where } \delta_e \text{ is the unit mass at } e) \tag{5}$$

is the distribution of $x_{t-}^{-1}x_t$, so $\eta(t,\cdot)$ is continuous if and only if x_t is stochastically continuous.

Let $\{\xi_1,\dots,\xi_d\}$ be a basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G. We will write e^ξ for the exponential map $\exp(\xi)$ on G. There are $\phi_1,\dots,\phi_d \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that $x = e^{\sum_{i=1}^d \phi_i(x)\xi_i}$ for x near e, called coordinate functions associated to the basis $\{\xi_i\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Note that $\xi_i\phi_j(e) = \delta_{ij}$. The $\phi_\cdot$-truncated mean, or simply the mean, of a G-valued random variable x or its distribution μ is defined to be

$$b = e^{\sum_{j=1}^d \mu(\phi_j)\xi_j} \quad \text{(where } \mu(\phi_j) = \textstyle\int \phi_j d\mu). \tag{6}$$

The distribution μ is called small if its mean b has coordinates $\mu(\phi_1),\dots,\mu(\phi_d)$, that is,

$$\phi_j(b) = \mu(\phi_j), \qquad 1 \le j \le d. \tag{7}$$

This is the case when μ is sufficiently concentrated near e.

As defined in [3], a Lévy measure function on G is a continuous measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$ such that

(a) $\eta(0,\cdot)=0$, $\eta(t,\{e\})=0$ and $\eta(t,U^c)<\infty$ for any $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$ and neighborhood U of e.
(b) $\eta(t,\|\phi_\cdot\|^2)<\infty$ for any $t\geq 0$, where $\|\phi_\cdot\|^2=\sum_{i=1}^d \phi_i(x)^2$.

The notion of Lévy measure functions is now extended. A measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$ on G is called an extended Lévy measure function if (a) above and (b′) below hold.

(b′) For $t\geq 0$, $\eta^c(t,\|\phi_\cdot\|^2)<\infty$, $\eta(\{t\}\times G)\leq 1$ and with ν_t given by (5),

$$\sum_{s\leq t}\nu_s(\|\phi_\cdot-\phi_\cdot(h_s)\|^2) \;<\; \infty, \tag{8}$$

where $\eta^c(t,\cdot)$ is the continuous part of $\eta(t,\cdot)$ and $h_t=\exp[\sum_j \nu_t(\phi_j)\xi_j]$ is the mean of ν_t.

Note that $\nu_t=\delta_e$ and $h_t=e$ at a continuous point t of $\eta(t,\cdot)$, and hence the sum $\sum_{s\leq t}$ in (8) has at most countably many nonzero terms. If $\eta(t,\cdot)$ is continuous, then (b′) becomes (b), and hence a continuous extended Lévy measure function is a Lévy measure function.

It can be shown directly that conditions (b) and (b′) are independent of the choice for coordinate functions ϕ_i and basis $\{\xi_i\}$. This is also a consequence of Theorem 2 below.

A continuous path b_t in G with $b_0=e$ will be called a drift. A $d\times d$ symmetric matrix-valued function $A(t)=\{A_{jk}(t)\}$ will be called a covariance matrix function if $A(0)=0$, $A(t)-A(s)\geq 0$ (nonnegative definite) for $s<t$, and $t\mapsto A_{jk}(t)$ is continuous. A triple (b,A,η) of a drift b_t, a covariance matrix function $A(t)$ and a Lévy measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$ will be called a Lévy triple on G. For $g\in G$, the adjoint map $\mathrm{Ad}(g)\colon\ \mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{g}$ is the differential of the conjugation $x\mapsto gxg^{-1}$ at $x=e$. It is shown in [3] that if x_t is a stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy process in G with $x_0=e$, then there is a unique Lévy triple (b,A,η) such that $x_t=z_tb_t$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\forall f\in C_c^\infty(G), \qquad & f(z_t)-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\sum_{j,k=1}^d[\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j][\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_k]f(z_s)\,dA_{jk}(s)\\ & -\int_0^t\int_G\{f(z_sb_s\tau b_s^{-1})-f(z_s)-\sum_{j=1}^d\phi_j(\tau)[\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j]f(z_s)\}\eta(ds,d\tau)\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

is a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^x$. Conversely, given a Lévy triple (b,A,η), there is a stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t with $x_0=e$ represented as above, unique in distribution.

Therefore, a stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy process in G is represented by a triple (b,A,η) just like its counterpart in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The complicated form of the martingale

in (9) with the presence of the drift b_t, as compared with its counterpart (2) on $\mathbb{R}^d$, is caused by the non-commutativity of G. This representation is extended to all inhomogeneous Lévy processes in G, not necessarily stochastically continuous, in Theorem 2 below.

An extended drift on G is a rcll path b_t in G with $b_0 = e$. A triple (b, A, η) of an extended drift b_t, a covariance matrix function $A(t)$ and an extended Lévy measure function $\eta(t, \cdot)$ will be called an extended Lévy triple on G if $b_{t-}^{-1} b_t = h_t$ for any $t > 0$.

We note that for $G = \mathbb{R}^d$, our definition of an extended Lévy triple corresponds to the assumptions in [7, Theorem II 5.2]. In particular, (a) and (b′) corresponds to (i) - (iii), and $b_{t-}^{-1} b_t = h_t$ to (v), but (iv) in [7] is redundant as it is implied by the other conditions.

A rcll process x_t in G is said to be represented by an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η) if with $x_t = z_t b_t$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_t f &= f(z_t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sum_{j,k=1}^d [\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j][\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_k] f(z_s) dA_{jk}(s) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_G \{ f(z_s b_s x b_s^{-1}) - f(z_s) - \sum_{j=1}^d \phi_j(x) [\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j] f(z_s) \} \eta^c(ds, dx) \\ &\quad - \sum_{u \le t} \int_G [f(z_{u-} b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1}) - f(z_{u-})] \nu_u(dx) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

is a martingale under the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ of x_t for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

We note that in (10), the η^c-integral is absolutely integrable and the sum $\sum_{u \le t}$ converges absolutely a.s., and hence $M_t f$ is a bounded random variable. This may be verified by (b′) and Taylor's expansions of $f(z_s b_s x b_s^{-1}) = f(z_s b_s e^{\sum_j \phi_j(x)\xi_j} b_s^{-1})$ at $x = e$ and $f(z_{u-} b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1})$ at $x = h_u$ (see the computation in the proof of Lemma 15).

Theorem 2 *Let x_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G with $x_0 = e$. Then there is a unique extended Lévy triple (b, A, η) on G such that x_t is represented by (b, A, η) as defined above. Moreover, $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is the jump intensity measure of process x_t given by (4). Consequently, x_t is stochastically continuous if and only if (b, A, η) is a Lévy triple.*

Conversely, given an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η) on G, there is an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G with $x_0 = e$, unique in distribution, that is represented by (b, A, η).

Remark 1 As the jump intensity measure, $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is clearly independent of the choice for the basis $\{\xi_j\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and coordinate functions ϕ_j. By Lemma 11, $A(t)$ is independent of $\{\phi_j\}$ and the operator $\sum_{j,k=1}^d A_{jk}(t)\xi_j\xi_k$ is independent of $\{\xi_j\}$.

In Theorem 2, the representation of process x_t is given in the form of a martingale property of the shifted process $z_t = x_t b_t^{-1}$. By Theorem 3 below, when the drift b_t has a finite variation, a form of martingale property holds directly for x_t.

A rcll path b_t in a manifold X is said to have a finite variation if for any $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$, $f(b_t)$ has a finite variation on any finite t-interval. Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$ be a family of smooth vector fields on X such that $\xi_1(x), \dots, \xi_d(x)$ form a basis of the tangent space T_xX of X at any x. If b_t is a continuous path in X with a finite variation, then there are uniquely defined real valued continuous functions $b_j(t)$ of finite variation, $1 \le j \le d$, with $b_j(0) = 0$, such that

$$\forall f \in C_c^\infty(X), \qquad f(b_t) = f(b_0) + \int_0^t \sum_j \xi_j f(b_s) db_j(s). \tag{11}$$

Indeed, $\xi_j(x) = \sum_k \alpha_{jk}(x)(\partial/\partial\psi_k)$ under local coordinates $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d$ on G, and $df(b_t) = \sum_j (\partial/\partial\psi_j) f(b_t) d\psi_j(b_t) = \sum_{j,k} \beta_{jk}(b_t)\xi_k f(b_t) d\psi_j(b_t)$, where $\{\beta_{jk}(x)\} = \{\alpha_{jk}(x)\}^{-1}$, then $b_j(t)$ are determined by $db_j(t) = \sum_k \beta_{kj}(b_t) d\psi_k(b_t)$. Conversely, given $b_j(t)$ as above, a continuous path b_t of finite variation satisfying (11) may be obtained by solving the integral equation

$$\psi_j(b_t) - \psi_j(b_{t_0}) = \sum_k \int_{t_0}^t \alpha_{kj}(b_s) db_k(s)$$

for $\psi_j(b_t)$ by the usual successive approximation method.

The functions $b_j(t)$ above will be called components of the path b_t under the vector fields $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d$. When $X = G$, these vector fields will be the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ chosen before.

More generally, if b_t is a rcll path in X of finite variation, then there is a unique continuous path b_t^c in X of finite variation with $b_0^c = b_0$ such that, letting $b_j(t)$ be the components of b_t^c,

$$\forall f \in C_c^\infty(X), \qquad f(b_t) = f(b_0) + \int_0^t \sum_j \xi_j f(b_s) db_j(s) + \sum_{s \le t} [f(b_s) - f(b_{s-})]. \tag{12}$$

To prove this, cover the path by finitely many coordinate neighborhoods and then prove the claim on a Euclidean space. The path b_t^c will be called the continuous part of b_t.

Theorem 3 *Let x_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G with $x_0 = e$, represented by an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η). Assume b_t is of finite variation. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_t) &- \int_0^t \sum_j \xi_j f(x_s) db_j(s) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \xi_j \xi_k f(x_s)\, dA_{jk}(s) \\ &- \int_0^t \int_G \{f(x_s\tau) - f(x_s) - \sum_j \phi_j(\tau)\xi_j f(x_s)\} \eta^c(ds, d\tau) \\ &- \sum_{u \le t} \int_G [f(x_{u-}\tau) - f(x_{u-})] \nu_u(d\tau) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

is a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

Conversely, given an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η) with b_t of finite variation, there is an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G with $x_0 = e$, unique in distribution, such that (13) is a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

The above theorem follows directly from Theorem 2 and the next lemma. Note that because b_t has a finite variation and $\phi_i(b_u^{-1}b_u) = \nu_u(\phi_i)$ for all but finitely many $u \le t$, $\sum_{u\le t}|\nu_u(\phi_i)| < \infty$ and hence $\sum_{u\le t}|\int_G[f(x_{u-}\tau) - f(x_{u-})]\nu_u(d\tau)| < \infty$. The absolute integrability of the η^c-integral in (13) can be verified as in (10).

Lemma 4 *Let (b, A, η) be an extended Lévy triple on G with b_t of finite variation. For an inhomogeneous Lévy process $x_t = z_t b_t$ in G with $x_0 = e$, (10) being a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ is equivalent to (13) being a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.*

Proof Let us assume (10) is a martingale. Let Δ_n: $0 = t_{n0} < t_{n1} < \cdots < t_{ni} \uparrow \infty$ (as $i \uparrow \infty$) be a sequence of partitions of $\mathbb{R}_+$ with mesh $\|\Delta_n\| = \sup_{i\ge1}(t_{ni} - t_{n\,i-1}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and let $f_{ni}(z) = f(zb_{t_{ni}})$. Then

$$f_{ni}(z_t) = f_{ni}(e) + M_t^{ni} + \int_{[0,\,t]} L(s, ds) f_{ni},$$

where M_t^{ni} is a martingale with $M_0^{ni} = 0$ and

$$L(t, dt)f = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k}[\mathrm{Ad}(b_t)\xi_j][\mathrm{Ad}(b_t)\xi_k]f(z_t)dA_{jk}(t) + \int_G\{f(z_t b_t \tau b_t^{-1}) - f(z_t)$$
$$-\sum_j \phi_j(\tau)[\mathrm{Ad}(b_t)\xi_j]f(z_t)\}\eta^c(dt, d\tau) + \sum_{s\in dt}\int_G[f(z_{s-}b_{s-}\tau h_s^{-1}b_{s-}^{-1}) - f(z_{s-})]\nu_s(d\tau).$$

Let $b_t^n = b_{t_{ni}}$ for $t \in [t_{ni},\, t_{n\,i+1})$. Let J be the set of fixed jump times of x_t. We may assume $J \subset \Delta_n$ as $n \to \infty$ in the sense that $\forall u \in J$, $u \in \Delta_n$ for large n. Then b_t^n is a step function and $b_t^n \to b_t$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly for bounded t. It follows that for $t \in [t_{ni},\, t_{n\,i+1})$,

$$f(z_t b_t^n) = f(z_t b_{t_{ni}}) = f(e) + \sum_{j=1}^{i}[f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{nj}}) - f(z_{t_{n\,j-1}}b_{t_{n\,j-1}})] + [f(z_t b_{t_{ni}}) - f(z_{t_{ni}}b_{t_{ni}})]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= f(e) + \sum_{j=1}^{i}[f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{n\,j-1}}) - f(z_{t_{n\,j-1}}b_{t_{n\,j-1}})] + [f(z_t b_{t_{ni}}) - f(z_{t_{ni}}b_{t_{ni}})] \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{i}[f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{nj}}) - f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{n\,j-1}})] \\
&= f(e) + \sum_{j=1}^{i}[M_{t_{nj}}^{n\,j-1} - M_{t_{n\,j-1}}^{n\,j-1}] + \sum_{j=1}^{i}\int_{(t_{n\,j-1},\,t_{nj}]} L(s, ds) f_{n\,j-1} + [M_t^{ni} - M_{t_{ni}}^{ni}] \\
&\quad + \int_{(t_{ni},\,t]} L(s, ds) f_{ni} + \sum_{j=1}^{i}[f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{nj}}) - f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{n\,j-1}})] \\
&= f(e) + M_t^{(n)} + \int_{[0,\,t]} L(s, ds)(f \circ r_{b_{s-}^n}) + \sum_{j=1}^{i}[f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{nj}}) - f(z_{t_{nj}}b_{t_{n\,j-1}})],
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_t^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{i}[M_{t_{nj}}^{n\,j-1} - M_{t_{n\,j-1}}^{n\,j-1}] + [M_t^{ni} - M_{t_{ni}}^{ni}]$, $t \in [t_{ni},\, t_{n\,i+1})$, is a martingale, and r_b is the right translation $x \mapsto xb$ on G. As $n \to \infty$, by the uniform convergence $b_t^n \to b_t$, $f(z_t b_t^n) \to f(z_t b_t) = f(x_t)$, and by the continuity of $A(t)$ and $\eta^c(t,\cdot)$,

$$\int_{[0,\,t]} L(s,ds)(f \circ r_{b_{s-}^n}) \;\to\; \int_{[0,\,t]} L(s,ds)(f \circ r_{b_{s-}}) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{p,q} \xi_p \xi_q f(x_s) dA_{pq}(s) +$$
$$\int_0^t \int_G [f(x_s\tau) - f(x_s) - \sum_p \phi_p(\tau)\xi_p f(x_s)]\eta^c(ds, d\tau) + \sum_{s \le t} \int_G [f(x_{s-}\tau h_s^{-1}) - f(x_{s-})]\nu_s(d\tau)$$

and, by (12) and noting that $b_p(t)$ is continuous in t and $J \subset \Delta_n$ as $n \to \infty$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i}[f(z_{t_{nj}} b_{t_{nj}}) - f(z_{t_{nj}} b_{t_{n\,j-1}})] = \sum_{j=1}^{i}\{\int_{t_{n\,j-1}}^{t_{nj}} \sum_p \xi_p f(z_{t_{nj}} b_s) db_p(s) + \sum_{t_{n\,j-1} < u \le t_{nj}} [f(z_{t_{nj}} b_u) - f(z_{t_{nj}} b_{u-})]\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\to \int_0^t \sum_p \xi_p f(z_s b_s) db_p(s) + \sum_{u \le t}[f(z_u b_u) - f(z_u b_{u-})] \\
&= \int_0^t \sum_p \xi_p f(z_s b_s) db_p(s) + \sum_{u \le t}[f(x_u) - f(x_u h_u^{-1})] \\
&= \int_0^t \sum_p \xi_p f(x_s) db_p(s) + \sum_{u \le t} \int_G [f(x_{u-}\tau) - f(x_{u-}\tau h_u^{-1})]\nu_u(d\tau) + M_t',
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_t' = \sum_{u \le t}\{f(x_u) - f(x_u h_u^{-1}) - E[f(x_u) - f(x_u h_u^{-1}) \mid \mathcal{F}_{u-}^x]\}$ is a bounded martingale. It follows that $M_t^{(n)}$ converges boundedly to a martingale M_t given by (13).

Now we assume (13) is a martingale. Because $z_t = x_t b_t^{-1}$, the above computation can be repeated with x_t and z_t switching roles, and b_t replaced by b_t^{-1}, to show that (10) is a martingale. Note that the components $\beta_j(t)$ of the continuous part of b_t^{-1} under the basis $\{\xi_j\}$ are given by

$$d\beta_j(t) = -\sum_k [\mathrm{Ad}(b_t)]_{jk} db_k(t), \tag{14}$$

where $[\mathrm{Ad}(b)]_{jk}$ is the matrix representing $\mathrm{Ad}(b)$, that is, $\mathrm{Ad}(b)\xi_k = \sum_j [\mathrm{Ad}(b)]_{jk}\xi_j$. □

3 Measure functions

We now let $J = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots\}$ be the set of fixed jump times of an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t (the list may be finite or empty, and may not be ordered in magnitude). As in the proof of Lemma 4, let Δ_n: $0 = t_{n0} < t_{n1} < t_{n2} < \cdots < t_{nk} \uparrow \infty$ (as $k \uparrow \infty$) be a sequence of partitions of $\mathbb{R}_+$ with mesh $\|\Delta_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, such that Δ_n contains J as $n \to \infty$ in the sense that any $u \in J$ is in Δ_n when n is sufficiently large. For $m > 0$, let $J_m = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m\}$.

For $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, let $x_{ni} = x_{t_{n\,i-1}}^{-1} x_{t_{ni}}$. Then for each fixed n, x_{ni} are independent random variables in G. Let μ_{ni} be their distributions, and define the measure function

$$\eta_n(t, \cdot) = \sum_{t_{ni} \le t} \mu_{ni} \quad (\text{setting } \eta_n(0, \cdot) = 0). \tag{15}$$

Proposition 5 *For any $T > 0$ and any neighborhood U of e, $\eta_n(T, U^c)$ is bounded in n and $\eta_n(T, U^c) \downarrow 0$ as $U \uparrow G$ uniformly in n.*

Proof We first establish an equi-continuity type property for $\eta_n(t, \cdot)$.

Lemma 6 *For any $T > 0$, neighborhood U of e and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are integers n_0 and $m > 0$, and $\delta > 0$, such that if $n \ge n_0$ and $s, t \in \Delta_n \cap [0, T]$ with $0 < t - s < \delta$ and $(s, t] \cap J_m = \emptyset$ (the empty set), then $\eta_n(t, U^c) - \eta_n(s, U^c) < \varepsilon$.*

By Borel-Cantelli Lemma, the independent increments and rcll paths imply that for any neighborhood U of e,

$$\sum_{u \le T,\, u \in J} P(x_{u-}^{-1} x_u \in U^c) < \infty. \tag{16}$$

Suppose the claim of the lemma is not true. Then for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and for any n_0, m and $\delta > 0$, there are $s_n, t_n \in \Delta_n \cap [0, T]$ with $n \ge n_0$, $t_n - s_n < \delta$ and $(s_n, t_n] \cap J_m = \emptyset$ such that $\eta_n(t_n, U^c) - \eta_n(s_n, U^c) \ge \varepsilon$. Letting $n_0 \to \infty$ and $\delta \to 0$ yields a subsequence of $n \to \infty$ such that s_n and t_n converge to a common limit $t \le T$ as $n \to \infty$.

Let $s_n = t_{ni}$ and $t_n = t_{nj}$. In the following computation, we will write $A_n \approx B_n$ if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $(1/c)A_n \le B_n \le cA_n$. Then

$$\eta_n(t_n, U^c) - \eta_n(s_n, U^c) = \sum_{p=i+1}^{j} P(x_{t_{n\,p-1}}^{-1} x_{t_{np}} \in U^c) \approx - \sum_{p=i+1}^{j} \log[1 - P(x_{t_{n\,p-1}}^{-1} x_{t_{np}} \in U^c)]$$

$$= \; -\log P[\cap_{p=i+1}^{j} (x_{t_{n\,p-1}}^{-1} x_{t_{np}} \in U)] \; = \; -\log P(A_n),$$

where $A_n = \cap_{p=i+1}^{j} (x_{t_{n\,p-1}}^{-1} x_{t_{np}} \in U)$. Because $\eta_n(t_n, U^c) - \eta_n(s_n, U^c) \ge \varepsilon$, $P(A_n) \le 1 - \varepsilon_1$ for some constant $\varepsilon_1 > 0$. Then $P(A_n^c) > \varepsilon_1$. Note that on A_n^c, the process x_t makes a U^c-oscillation during the time interval $[s_n, t_n]$.

There are three possible cases and we will reach a contradiction in all these cases. Case one, there are infinitely many $s_n \downarrow t$. This is impossible by the right continuity of paths at time t. Case two, there are infinitely many $t_n \uparrow t$. This is impossible by the existence of path left limit at time t. Case three, there are infinitely many $s_n < t \le t_n$. This implies $P(x_{t-}^{-1} x_t \in U^c) \ge \varepsilon_1$. Then $t \in J$. Because $(s_n, t_n] \cap J_m = \emptyset$, $t \notin J_m$. By (16), m may be chosen so that $\sum_{u \le T,\, u \in J - J_m} P(x_{u-}^{-1} x_u \in U^c) < \varepsilon_1$, which is impossible. Lemma 6 is now proved.

To prove Proposition 5, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let n_0, m, δ be as in Lemma 6. It suffices to prove for $n \geq n_0$. For each $n \geq n_0$, J_m may be covered by no more than m sub-intervals of the form $[t_{n\,i-1},\, t_{ni}]$, and the rest of the interval $[0,\, T]$ may be covered by finitely many, say p, sub-intervals $[s,\, t]$ as in Lemma 6. Then $\eta_n(T, U^c) \leq p\varepsilon + m$, and hence $\eta_n(t, U^c)$ is bounded.

Now let V be a neighborhood of e such that $V^{-1}V = \{x^{-1}y;\, x, y \in V\} \subset U$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_n(T, U^c) &= \sum_{t_{ni} \leq T} P(x^{-1}_{t_{n\,i-1}} x_{t_{ni}} \in U^c) \approx -\sum_{t_{ni} \leq T} \log[1 - P(x^{-1}_{t_{n\,i-1}} x_{t_{ni}} \in U^c)] \\ &= -\log P\{\cap_{t_{ni} \leq T} [x^{-1}_{t_{n\,i-1}} x_{t_{ni}} \in U]\} \;\leq\; -\log P\{\cap_{t_{ni} \leq T} [x_{t_{n\,i-1}} \in V \text{ and } x_{t_{ni}} \in V]\} \\ &\leq -\log P\{\cap_{t \leq T} [x_t \in V]\} \;\downarrow\; 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $V \uparrow G$ uniformly in n because $P\{\cap_{t \leq T}[x_t \in V]\} \uparrow 1$. $\square$

Let $\eta(t, \cdot)$ be the jump intensity measure of process x_t defined by (4).

Proposition 7 *For any $t > 0$ and $f \in C_b(G)$ vanishing in a neighborhood of e,*

$$\eta_n(t, f) \;\to\; \eta(t, f).$$

Moreover, $\eta(t, U^c) < \infty$ for any neighborhood U of e.

Proof We may assume $f \geq 0$. Let $F = \sum_{u \leq t} f(x^{-1}_{u-} x_u)$ and $F_n = \sum_{i=1}^{p} f(x_{ni})$, where p is the largest index i such that $t_{ni} \leq t$. Then $\eta(t, f) = E(F)$ and $\eta_n(t, f) = E(F_n)$. Because Δ_n contains J as $n \to \infty$, $F_n \to F$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. By the independence of $x_{n1}, x_{n2}, x_{n3}, \ldots$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(F_n^2) &= E\{[\sum_{i=1}^{p} f(x_{ni})]^2\} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{ni}(f^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} \mu_{ni}(f)\mu_{nj}(f) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{ni}(f^2) + [\sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{ni}(f)]^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \mu_{ni}(f)^2 \leq \eta_n(t, f^2) + [\eta_n(t, f)]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is bounded by Proposition 5. Therefore, $E(F_n^2)$ are uniformly bounded in n, and hence F_n are uniformly integrable. It follows that $\eta_n(t, f) = E(F_n) \to E(F) = \eta(t, f)$. The finiteness of $\eta(t, U^c)$ now follows from Proposition 5. $\square$

Define

$$\eta^m(t, B) = E[\#\{s \leq t;\;\; x^{-1}_{s-} x_s \in B,\; x^{-1}_{s-} x_s \neq e \text{ and } s \notin J_m\}]. \tag{17}$$

Then $\eta(t, \cdot) \geq \eta^m(t, \cdot) \downarrow \eta^c(t, \cdot)$ as $m \uparrow \infty$. Let Δ_n^m be the subset of Δ_n consisting of t_{ni} such that $u \in (t_{n\,i-1},\, t_{ni}]$ for some $u \in J_m$, and let $\eta_n^m(t, \cdot) = \sum_{t_{ni} \leq t,\, t_{ni} \notin \Delta_n^m} \mu_{ni}$.

Lemma 8 *Fix $m > 0$. Then as $n \to \infty$, $\eta_n^m(t, f) \to \eta^m(t, f)$ for any $t > 0$ and $f \in C_b(G)$ vanishing in a neighborhood of e.*

Proof Let $F' = \sum_{s \le t,\, s \notin J_m} f(x_{s-}^{-1} x_s)$ and $F'_n = \sum_{t_{ni} \le t,\, t_{ni} \notin \Delta_n^m} f(x_{ni})$. Then $\eta^m(t, f) = E(F')$ and $\eta_n^m(t, f) = E(F'_n)$. Because Δ_n contains J as $n \to \infty$, $F'_n \to F'$ a.s. as $n \to \infty$. One may assume $f \ge 0$. Because $F'_n \le F_n$ in the notation of the proof of Proposition 7, so F'_n are uniformly integrable and hence $\eta_n^m(t, f) = E(F'_n) \to E(F') = \eta^m(t, F)$. $\square$

The notion of measure functions is now extended to matrix-valued measures. Thus a family of $d \times d$ symmetric matrix valued functions $A(t, B) = \{A_{jk}(t, B)\}_{j,k=1,2,\ldots,d}$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, is called a matrix-valued measure function on G if $A_{jk}(t, \cdot)$ is a finite signed measure on G, $A(0, B)$ and $A(t, B) - A(s, B)$ are nonnegative definte for $s < t$, and $A_{jk}(t, B) \to A_{jk}(s, B)$ as $t \downarrow s$.

The trace of a matrix-valued measure function $A(t, \cdot)$, $q(t, \cdot) = \text{Trace}[A(t, \cdot)]$, is a finite measure function such that for $s < t$ and $j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, d$,

$$|A_{jk}(t, \cdot) - A_{jk}(s, \cdot)| \ \le \ q(t, \cdot) - q(s, \cdot) \ = \ q((s,\, t] \times \cdot). \tag{18}$$

Let $A^n(t, \cdot)$ be the matrix-valued measure functions on G defined by

$$A_{jk}^n(t, B) = \sum_{t_{ni} \le t} \int_B [\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b_{ni})][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(b_{ni})] \mu_{ni}(dx), \tag{19}$$

where $b_{ni} = e^{\sum_j \mu_{ni}(\phi_j)\xi_j}$ is the mean of x_{ni}. Its trace is

$$q^n(t, B) = \sum_{t_{ni} \le t} \int_B \|\phi_\cdot(x) - \phi_\cdot(b_{ni})\|^2 \mu_{ni}(dx), \tag{20}$$

where $\phi_\cdot(x) = (\phi_1(x), \ldots, \phi_d(x))$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

In the rest of this section, let $\eta(t, \cdot)$ be the jump intensity measure of an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G, defined by (4), with J being the set of its discontinuity times. Let ν_t be defined by (5) with mean h_t. Then ν_t and h_t are respectively the distribution and the mean of $x_{t-}^{-1} x_t$, which are nontrivial only when $t \in J$. We will see that $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is an extended Lévy measure function. This fact is now proved below under an extra assumption.

Proposition 9 *Assume $q^n(t, G)$ is bounded in n for each $t > 0$. Then the jump intensity measure $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is an extended Lévy measure function, and hence its continuous part $\eta^c(t, \cdot)$ is a Lévy measure function.*

Proof Let c_q be a constant such that $q^n(t, G) \le c_q$ for all n. Any $u \in J$ is contained in $(t_{n\, i-1},\, t_{ni}]$ for some $i = i_n$ and $\nu_u(\|\phi_\cdot - \phi_\cdot(h_u)\|^2) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_{ni}(\|\phi_\cdot - \phi_\cdot(b_{ni})\|^2)$. It follows that $\sum_{u \le t, u \in J} \nu_u(\|\phi_\cdot - \phi_\cdot(h_u)\|^2) \le c_q$. It remains to prove the finiteness of $\eta^c(t, \|\phi_\cdot\|^2)$. Let

$$r_n^m = \max_{t_{ni} \le t,\, t_{ni} \notin \Delta_n^m} \|\phi_\cdot(b_{ni})\|, \tag{21}$$

where Δ_n^m is defined after (17). Then $r_n^m = \max_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\notin\Delta_n^m} \|\mu_{ni}(\phi.)\|$ for large m, and $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} r_n^m = \sup_{u\le t,\, u\notin J_m} \|\nu_u(\phi.)\| \to 0$ as $m\to\infty$. For two neighborhoods $U \subset V$ of e,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta^c(t, \|\phi.\|^2 1_{V^c}) \le \eta^m(t, \|\phi.\|^2 1_{V^c}) \ \le\ \overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \int_{U^c} \|\phi.(x)\|^2 \eta_n^m(t, dx) \quad \text{(by Lemma 8)} \\
\le\ & 2\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \sum_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\notin J_m} \int_{U^c} \|\phi.(x) - \phi.(b_{ni})\|^2 \mu_{ni}(dx) + 2\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} (r_n^m)^2 \eta_n(t, U^c) \\
\le\ & 2c_q + 2(\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}(r_n^m)^2)(\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}\eta_n(t, U^c)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now letting $m\to\infty$ and then $V \downarrow \{e\}$ shows $\eta^c(t, \|\phi.\|^2) \le 2c_q$. □

Now consider the following equi-continuity type condition on $q^n(t,\cdot)$.

(A) For any $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are integers $n_0, m > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that if $n \ge n_0$ and $s, t \in [0,\, T]$ with $0 < t - s < \delta$ and $(s,\, t] \cap J_m = \emptyset$, then $q^n(t, G) - q^n(s, G) < \varepsilon$.

Lemma 10 *Under (A), $q^n(T, G)$ is bounded in n, and $q^n(T, U^c) \downarrow 0$ uniformly in n as $U \uparrow G$, where U is a neighborhood of e.*

Proof The boundedness of $q^n(T, G)$ is derived from (A) in the same way as the boundedness of $\eta_n(T, U^c)$ in Proposition 5 is derived from Lemma 6. Because the convergence $\eta_n(T, U^c) \downarrow 0$, $U \uparrow G$, is uniform in n, so is $q^n(T, U^c) \downarrow 0$. □

Lemma 11 *Assume (A). Then there is a matrix valued measure function $A(t,\cdot)$ on G such that along a subsequence of $n\to\infty$, $A^n(t, f) \to A(t, f)$ for all $t > 0$ and $f \in C_b(G)$. Moreover, there is a covariance matrix function $A(t)$ on G such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{jk}(t, f) \ =\ & f(e)A_{jk}(t) + \int_G f(x)\phi_j(x)\phi_k(x)\eta^c(t, dx) \\
& + \sum_{u\le t,\, u\in J} \int_G f(x)[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(h_u)][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(h_u)]\nu_u(dx). \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $A(t)$ is independent of the choice for coordinate functions ϕ_j and the operator $\sum_{j,k=1}^d A_{jk}(t)\xi_j\xi_k$ is independent of the choice for the basis $\{\xi_j\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proof Let Λ be a countable dense subset of $[0,\, T]$ containing $J \cap [0,\, T]$ and let H be a countable subset of $C_b(G)$. By the boundedness of $q^n(T, G)$ and (18), along a subsequence of $n\to\infty$, $A^n(t, f)$ converges for all $t \in \Lambda$ and $f \in H$. Let K_n be an increasing sequence of compact subsets of G such that $K_n \uparrow G$. For each K_n, there is a countable subset H_n of $C_b(K_n)$ that is dense in $C(K_n)$ under the supremum norm. We will extend functions in H_n to be functions on G without increasing their suprenorms and let $H = \cup_n H_n$.

Because for any relatively compact neighborhood U of e, $q^n(T, U^c) \downarrow 0$ as $U \uparrow G$ uniformly in n, it follows that $A^n(t, f)$ converges for any $f \in C_b(G)$. By (A), $A^n(t, f)$ converges to

some $A(t,f)$ for any $t \in [0,\,T]$ and $f \in C_b(G)$, and the convergence is uniform in t and f bounded by a fixed constant. Because $A^n(t,f)$ is right continuous with left limits in t, so is $A(t,f)$ and hence $A(t,\cdot)$ is a matrix-valued measure function. Moreover, the jumps of $A(t,f)$ are $\int_G f(x)[\phi_j(x)-\phi_j(h_t)][\phi_k(x)-\phi_k(h_t)]\nu_t(dx)$ at $t \in J$.

Let the sum $\sum_{t_{ni}\le t}$ in (19), which defines $A^n(t,\cdot)$, be broken into two partial sums: $\sum_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\notin\Delta_n^m}$ and $\sum_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\in\Delta_n^m}$, and write $A^n(t,\cdot)=A^{n,m}(t,\cdot)+B^{n,m}(t,\cdot)$, where $A^{n,m}(t,\cdot)=\sum_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\notin\Delta_n^m}$ and $B^{n,m}(t,\cdot)=\sum_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\in\Delta_n^m}$. Then for $f\in C_b(G)$, as $n\to\infty$,

$$B_{jk}^{n,m}(t,f) \;\to\; \sum_{s\le t,\, s\in J_m}\int_G f(x)[\phi_j(x)-\phi_j(h_t)][\phi_k(x)-\phi_k(h_t)]\nu_s(dx), \tag{23}$$

and hence $\lim_{n\to\infty}A^{n,m}(t,f)$ also exists. Note that the sum $\sum_{s\le t,\, s\in J_m}$ in (23) contains the jumps of $A(s,f)$ at $s\in[0,\,t]\cap J_m$ and it converges to

$$B'_{jk}(t,f)=\sum_{s\le t,\, s\in J}\int_G f(x)[\phi_j(x)-\phi_j(h_t)][\phi_k(x)-\phi_k(h_t)]\nu_s(dx)$$

as $m\to\infty$, and $B'(t,\cdot)$ is a matrix valued measure function. It follows that as $m\to\infty$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}A^{n,m}(t,f)$ converges to a matrix valued measure function $A'(t,f)=A(t,f)-B'(t,f)$.

Let $\psi\in C_c^\infty(G)$ with $\psi=1$ near e and $0\le\psi\le 1$ on G. Because $\eta_n(t,1-\psi)$ is bounded in n, and $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}r_n^m\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$ for r_n^m defined by (21), by Lemma 8,

$$A_{jk}^{n,m}(t,(1-\psi)f)=\int[1-\psi(x)]f(x)\phi_j(x)\phi_k(x)\eta^c(t,dx)+r'_{nm} \tag{24}$$

with $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}r'_{nm}\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$. Then

$$A'_{jk}(t,(1-\psi)f)=\int[1-\psi(x)]f(x)\phi_j(x)\phi_k(x)\eta^c(t,dx).$$

Let $\psi=\psi_p\downarrow 1_{\{e\}}$ with $\mathrm{supp}(\psi_p)\downarrow\{e\}$ as $p\uparrow\infty$, and define $A(t)=\lim_{p\to\infty}A'(t,\psi_p)$. Then $\lim_{p\to\infty}A'(t,f\psi_p)=f(e)A(t)$. Because $A(t,f)=A'(t,f)+B'(t,f)$ and $A'(t,f)=A'(t,\psi_p f)+A'(t,(1-\psi_p)f))$, letting $p\to\infty$ yields (22). Because the jumps of $A(t,f)$ are accounted for by the sum $\sum_{u\le t,\, u\in J}$ in (22), it follows that $A(t)$ is continuous and hence is a covariance matrix function.

Let $\{\tilde\phi_j\}$ be another set of coordinate functions associated to the same basis $\{\xi_j\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\tilde\phi_j=\phi_j$ in a neighborhood V of e. Let $\tilde b_{ni}$, $\tilde A^{n,m}(t,\cdot)$ and $\tilde A(t)$ be b_{ni}, $A^{n,m}(t,\cdot)$ and $A(t)$ for $\tilde\phi_i$. Because for $t_{ni}\le t$ with $t_{ni}\notin\Delta_n^m$ and a large m, μ_{ni} is small in the sense defined before (7), $\phi_j(b_{ni})=\mu_{ni}(\phi_j)$ and $\tilde\phi_j(\tilde b_{ni})=\mu_{ni}(\tilde\phi_j)$. Then for $f\in C_b(G)$ vanishing on V^c,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde A_{j,k}^{n,m}(t,f) &= \sum_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\notin\Delta_n^m}\int_G\int_G\int_G f(x)[\tilde\phi_j(x)-\tilde\phi_j(y)][\tilde\phi_k(x)-\tilde\phi_k(z)]\mu_{ni}(dx)\mu_{ni}(dy)\mu_{ni}(dz)\\ &= \sum\int_V\int_V\int_V f(x)[\phi_j(x)-\phi_j(y)][\phi_k(x)-\phi_k(z)]\mu_{ni}(dx)\mu_{ni}(dy)\mu_{ni}(dz)+R,\end{aligned}$$

where $R = \sum[\int_V \int_{V^c} \int_V + \int_{V^c} \int_V \int_V + \int_{V^c} \int_{V^c} \int_V]$, and a similar expression holds for $A^{n,m}_{jk}(t,f)$. Subtract the two expressions, it can be shown that $|\tilde{A}^{n,m}_{jk}(t,f) - A^{n,m}_{jk}(t,f)|$ is controlled by $\max_{t_{ni}\le t,\, t_{ni}\notin\Delta_n^m}[\mu_{ni}(V^c) + \int\int \mu_{ni}(dx)\mu_{ni}(dy)\|\phi_\cdot(x) - \phi_\cdot(y)\|]\eta_n(t, V^c)$, and it follows that $\lim_{m\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty}|\tilde{A}^{n,m}_{jk}(t,f) - A^{n,m}_{jk}(t,f)| = 0$. This implies that $\tilde{A}(t) = A(t)$.

Now let $\{\tilde{\xi}_j\}$ be another basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\xi_j = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{jk}\tilde{\xi}_k$. Then $\tilde{\phi}_j = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{kj}\phi_k$ are the coordinate functions associated to $\{\tilde{\xi}_j\}$, and from the above displayed expression for $\tilde{A}^{n,m}(t,f)$ in terms of $\tilde{\phi}_j$, $\tilde{A}^{n,m}_{jk}(t,f) = \sum_{p,q} a_{pj}a_{qk}A^{n,m}_{pq}(t,f)$. This implies that $\tilde{A}_{jk}(t) = \sum_{p,q} a_{pj}a_{qk}A_{pq}(t)$, and hence $\sum_{j,k}\tilde{A}_{jk}(t)\tilde{\xi}_j\tilde{\xi}_k = \sum_{j,k} A_{jk}(t)\xi_j\xi_k$. $\square$

Let Y be a smooth manifold equipped with a compatible metric r, which will be taken to be $G\times G$ in the proof of Lemma 15. Let y^n and y be rcll functions: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to Y$. Assume for any $t > 0$, $r(y^n(t_{ni}), y(t_{ni})) \to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ uniformly for $t_{ni}\le t$. Let $F(y,b,x)$ and $F_{jk}(y,b,x)$ be bounded continuous functions on $Y\times G\times G$.

Lemma 12 *Assume the above and (A), and let $A(t)$ be the covariance matrix function in Lemma 11. Then for any $t > 0$ and neighborhood U of e with $\eta(T,\partial U) = 0$, as $n\to\infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{t_{ni}\le t}\int_{U^c} F(y^n(t_{n\,i-1}), b_{ni}, x)\mu_{ni}(dx)\\ \to\ &\int_0^t\int_{U^c} F(y(s), e, x)\eta^c(ds,dx) + \sum_{u\le t,\, u\in J}\int_{U^c} F(y(u-), h_u, x)\nu_u(dx),\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

and along the subsequence of $n\to\infty$ in Lemma 11,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{t_{ni}\le t}\sum_{j,k=1}^d\int_G F_{jk}(y^n(t_{n\,i-1}), b_{ni}, x)[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b_{ni})][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(b_{ni})]\mu_{ni}(dx)\\ \to\ &\sum_{j,k=1}^d\{\int_0^t F_{jk}(y(s),e,e)dA_{jk}(s) + \int_0^t\int_G F_{jk}(y(s),e,x)\phi_j(x)\phi_k(x)\eta^c(ds,dx)\\ &+\sum_{u\le t,\, u\in J}\int_G F_{jk}(y(u-), h_u, x)[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(h_u)][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(h_u)]\nu_u(dx)\}.\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

Proof Let V be a relatively compact neighborhood of e. By Proposition 5, $\eta_n(t, V^c)\downarrow 0$ as $V\uparrow G$ uniformly in n. Because of the uniform convergence $r(y^n(t_{ni}), y(t_{ni}))\to 0$, $F(y^n(t_{ni}), b, x) - F(y(t_{ni}), b, x)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ uniformly for $t_{ni}\le t$ and for (b,x) in a compact set. Therefore, it suffices to prove (25) with y^n and U^c replaced by y and $U^c\cap V$ for an arbitrary relatively compact neighborhood V of e with $\eta(T,\partial V) = 0$. Similarly, it suffices to prove (26) with y^n and G replaced by y and V.

We now show that for $s < t$ and $f\in C_b(G)$ vanishing in a neighborhood of e, as $n\to\infty$,

$$\sum_{s<t_{n\,i-1}<t_{ni}<t}\mu_{ni}(f)\ \to\ \eta((s,\,t)\times f).\tag{27}$$

The sum $\sum_{s<t_{n\,i-1}<t_{ni}<t}\mu_{ni}(f)$ differs from $\eta_n(t,f)-\eta_n(s,f)$ by one or two terms of the form $\mu_{ni}(f)$. By Proposition 7, $\eta_n(t,f)-\eta_n(s,f) \;\to\; \eta(t,f)-\eta(s,f)=\eta((s,\,t]\times f)=\eta((s,\,t)\times f)+\nu_t(f)$. Using $J\subset\Delta_n$ as $n\to\infty$, (27) may be derived by noting that as $n\to\infty$, $\mu_{ni}(f)\to\nu_t(f)$ if $t\in J\cap(t_{n\,i-1},\,t_{ni}]$ and $\mu_{ni}(f)\to 0$ otherwise.

Let Δ'_m: $0=s_{m0}<s_{m1}<\cdots<s_{mk}\uparrow\infty$ be a sequence of partitions of $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\|\Delta'_m\|\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$. Let J' be the union of J and the set of discontinuity times of $y(t)$. We may assume $J'\subset\Delta'_m$ as $m\to\infty$, that is, any $v\in J'$ is contained in Δ'_m for large m. In the following computation, we will write $A(m,n)\sim B(m,n)$ for any two real valued expressions $A(m,n)$ and $B(m,n)$ if $|A(m,n)-B(m,n)|\to 0$ as $m\to\infty$ uniformly in n.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s_{mj}\le t}\{\sum_{s_{m\,j-1}<t_{n\,i-1}<t_{ni}<s_{mj}}\int_{U^c\cap V}F(y(t_{n\,i-1}),b_{ni},x)\mu_{ni}(dx)\}\\
\sim\;&\sum_{s_{mj}\le t}\{\sum_{s_{m\,j-1}<t_{n\,i-1}<t_{ni}<s_{mj}}\int_{U^c\cap V}F(y(s_{m\,j-1}),e,x)\mu_{ni}(dx)\}\quad(\text{because } J'\subset\Delta'_m \text{ as } m\to\infty\ )\\
\to\;&\sum_{s_{mj}\le t}\int_{U^c\cap V}F(y(s_{m\,j-1}),e,x)\eta((s_{m\,j-1},\,s_{mj}),dx)\qquad(\text{as } n\to\infty,\text{ by (27)})\\
\sim\;&\sum_{s_{mj}\le t}\int_{(s_{m\,j-1},\,s_{mj})}\int_{U^c\cap V}F(y(s),e,x)\eta^c(ds,dx).
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for $t_{n\,i-1}<s_{mj}\le t_{ni}$, Because $\eta(T,\partial U)=\eta(T,\partial V)=0$,

$$\int_{U^c\cap V}F(y(t_{n\,i-1}),b_{ni},x)\mu_{ni}(dx)\;\to\;\nu_{s_{mj}}(F(y(s_{mj}-),h_{s_{mj}},\cdot)1_{U^c\cap V})\qquad\text{as } n\to\infty.$$

This proves (25) when y^n and U^c are replaced by y and $U^c\cap V$. As noted earlier, this proves (25) in its original form. The convergence in (26) is proved in a similar fashion. □

Now assume $x_0=e$. For $0\le t<t_{n1}$, let $x^n_0=b^n_0=z^0_t=e$, and with $i\ge 1$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^n_t &= x_{t_{ni}}=x_{n1}x_{n2}\cdots x_{ni},\qquad t_{ni}\le t<t_{n\,i+1},\qquad (x_{ni}=x^{-1}_{t_{n\,i-1}}x_{t_{ni}}) &(28)\\
b^n_t &= b_{n1}b_{n2}\cdots b_{ni},\qquad t_{ni}\le t<t_{n\,i+1}, &(29)\\
z^n_t &= x^n_t(b^n_t)^{-1}=z_{n1}z_{n2}\cdots z_{ni},\qquad \text{where}\;\; z_{ni}=b^n_{t_{n\,i-1}}(x_{ni}b^{-1}_{ni})(b^n_{t_{n\,i-1}})^{-1}. &(30)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $f\in C^\infty_c(G)$, let $M^n_tf=f(z^n_t)=f(e)$ for $0\le t<t_{n1}$, and for $t\ge t_{n1}$, let

$$M^n_tf=f(z^n_t)-\sum_{t_{ni}\le t}\int_G[f(z^n_{t_{n\,i-1}}b^n_{t_{n\,i-1}}xb^{-1}_{ni}(b^n_{t_{n\,i-1}})^{-1})-f(z^n_{t_{n\,i-1}})]\mu_{ni}(dx).\qquad(31)$$

Lemma 13 *M^n_tf is a martingale under the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}^x_t$ of process x_t.*

Proof The martingale property can be verified directly noting

$$
\begin{aligned}
E[\int_G f(z^n_{t_{n\,i-1}}b^n_{t_{n\,i-1}}xb^{-1}_{ni}(b^n_{t_{n\,i-1}})^{-1})\mu_{ni}(dx)\mid\mathcal{F}^x_{t_{n\,i-1}}] &= E[f(z^n_{t_{n\,i-1}}z_{ni})\mid\mathcal{F}^x_{t_{n\,i-1}}]\\
&= E[f(z^n_{t_{ni}})\mid\mathcal{F}^x_{t_{n\,i-1}}].\ \square
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix a left invariant Riemannian metric r on G. A subset of G is relatively compact if and only if it is bounded in r. Consider the following equi-continuity type condition on b_t^n.

(B) For any $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are integers $n_0, m > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that if $n \geq n_0$ and $s, t \in [0, T]$ with $0 < t - s < \delta$ and $(s, t] \cap J_m = \emptyset$, then $r(b_s^n, b_t^n) < \varepsilon$.

Lemma 14 *Under (B), b_t^n is bounded for $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $n \geq 1$. Moreover, there is a rcll path b_t in G with $b_0 = e$ such that along a subsequence of $n \to \infty$, $b_t^n \to b_t$ uniformly for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Furthermore, $b_{t-}^{-1} b_t = h_t$ (the mean of $x_{t-}^{-1} x_t$) for any $t > 0$.*

Proof The boundedness of b_t^n may be derived from (B) in the same way as the boundedness of $\eta_n(t, U^c)$ in Proposition 5 is derived from Lemma 6.

Because b_t^n is bounded, there is a subsequence of $n \to \infty$ along which b_t^n converges to some b_t for t in a countable dense subset of $[0, T]$ including J. The equi-continuity of b_t^n in (B) implies that the convergence holds for all t and is uniform for $t \leq T$, and the limit b_t is a rcll path with jump $b_{t-}^{-1} b_t = h_t$ at $t \in J$, noting $h_t = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_{ni}$ for $t \in (t_{n\,i-1}, t_{ni}]$. $\square$

Lemma 15 *Assume (A) and (B), and let $z_t = x_t b_t^{-1}$. Then for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, $M_t f$ given in (10) is a martingale under the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ of x_t.*

Proof We need only to show that for any $t > 0$, $M_t^n f$ is bounded in n and $M_t^n f \to M_t f$.

We will assume, for the time being, that for any $u > 0$, ν_u is small in the meaning defined before (7). Then μ_{ni} are small for $t_{ni} \leq t$ when n is large.

Let U be a neighborhood of e such that $x = e^{\sum_j \phi_j(x)\xi_j}$ for $x \in U$ and $\eta(T, \partial U) = 0$. Let $\sum_{t_{ni} \leq t}$ be the sum in (31) that defines $M_t^n f$ as $f(z_t^n) - \sum_{t_{ni} \leq t}$. A typical term in $\sum_{t_{ni} \leq t}$ may be written as $\int_G [f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f(z)]\mu(dx)$, where $z = z_{t_{n\,i-1}}^n$, $b = b_{t_{n\,i-1}}^n$, $b' = b_{ni}$ and $\mu = \mu_{ni}$. By Taylor expansion of $f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) = f(zb\exp(\sum_{j=1}^d \phi_j(x)\xi_j)b'^{-1}b^{-1})$ at $x = b'$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_G [f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f(z)]\mu(dx) = \int_{U^c} [\ldots]\mu(dx) + \int_U [\ldots]\mu(dx) \\
= \;& \int_{U^c} [f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f(z)]\mu(dx) + \int_U \{\sum_j f_j(z, b, b')[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b')]\}\mu(dx) \\
&+ \int_U \{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k} f_{jk}(z, b, b')[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b')][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(b')]\}\mu(dx) + \lambda,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_j(z, b, b') = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_j} f(zbe^{\sum_p \phi_p(x)\xi_p} b'^{-1}b^{-1}) \mid_{x=b'}, \tag{32}$$

$$f_{jk}(z, b, b') = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi_j \partial \phi_k} f(zbe^{\sum_p \phi_p(x)\xi_p} b'^{-1}b^{-1}) \mid_{x=b'}, \tag{33}$$

and the remainder λ satisfies $|\lambda| \le c_U \mu(\|\phi_\cdot - \phi_\cdot(b')\|^2 1_U)$ with constant $c_U \to 0$ as $U \downarrow \{e\}$. Because b' is the mean of the small $\mu = \mu_{ni}$, $\phi_j(b') = \mu(\phi_j)$ and $\int_U [\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b')]\mu(dx) = \int_G - \int_{U^c} = 0 - \int_{U^c}[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b')]\mu(dx)$. This implies that the sum $\sum_{t_{ni} \le t}$ in (31) is equal to

$$\sum_{t_{ni} \le t} \int_{U^c} \{f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f(z) - \sum_j f_j(z, b, b')[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b')]\}\mu(dx)$$
$$+ \sum_{t_{ni} \le t} \int_U \{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} f_{jk}(z, b, b')[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b')][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(b')]\}\mu(dx) + \Lambda, \qquad (34)$$

where the remainder Λ satisfies $|\Lambda| \le c_U \sum_{t_{ni} \le t} \mu(\|\phi_\cdot - \phi_\cdot(b')\|^2 1_U) = c_U q^n(t, U)$.

Note that the two sums in (34) are bounded in absolute value by $c\eta_n(t, U^c)$ and $cq^n(t, U)$ respectively for some constant $c > 0$. Therefore, $\sum_{t_{ni} \le t}$ and hence $M_t^n f$ are bounded in n.

By (28), $x^n_{t_{ni}} = x_{t_{ni}}$. Because $z_t^n = x_t^n (b_t^n)^{-1}$, by the uniform convergence $b_t^n \to b_t$, $r(z^n_{t_{ni}}, z_{t_{ni}}) = r((b^n_{t_{ni}})^{-1}, (b_{t_{ni}})^{-1}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly for $t_{ni} \le t$.

The above fact allows us to apply (25) in Lemma 12 to the first sum in (34). Let $Y = G \times G$, $y = (z, b)$, and $F(y, b', x) = f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f(z) - \sum_j f_j(z, b, b')[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b')]$. Define $y^n(t)$ and $y(t)$ by setting $y^n(t) = (z^n_{t_{ni}}, b^n_{t_{ni}})$ for $t_{ni} \le t < t_{n\,i+1}$, and $y(t) = (z_t, b_t)$. Note that $f_j(z, b, e) = [\mathrm{Ad}(b)\xi_j]f(z)$. It follows that as $n \to \infty$, the first sum in (34) converges to

$$\int_0^t \int_{U^c} \{f(z_s b_s x b_s^{-1}) - f(z_s) - \sum_j \phi_j(x)[\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j]f(z_s)\}\eta^c(ds, dx)$$
$$+ \sum_{u \le t, u \in J} \int_{U^c} \{f(z_{u-} b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1}) - f(z_{u-}) - \sum_j f_j(z_{u-}, b_{u-}, h_u)[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(h_u)]\}\nu_u(dx). \qquad (35)$$

Similarly, apply (26) in Lemma 12 to the second sum in (34) shows that it converges to

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \int_0^t [\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j][\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_k]f(z_s)dA_s$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \int_0^t \int_U [\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j][\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_k]f(z_s)\phi_j(x)\phi_k(x)\eta^c(ds, dx)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \sum_{u \le t, u \in J} \int_U f_{jk}(z_{u-}, b_{u-}, h_u)[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(h_u)][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(h_u)]\nu_u(dx). \qquad (36)$$

By a computation similar to the one leading to (34), using the Taylor expansion of $f(z_{u-} b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1})$ at $x = h_u$, one can show that $\sum_{u \le t, u \in J} \int_G [f(z_{u-} b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1}) - f(z_{u-})]\nu_u(dx)$ is the sum of $\sum_{u \le t, u \in J}(\cdots)$ in (35) and $(1/2)\sum_{j,k}\sum_{u \le t, u \in J}(\cdots)$ in (36), plus an error term that converges to 0 as $U \downarrow \{e\}$. Letting $U \downarrow \{e\}$ in (35) and (36) shows that $M_t^n f \to M_t f$.

For $u \in J$, let a_u be the ν_u-integral term in $M_t f$ given by (10) and let a_u^n be the μ_{ni}-integral term in $M_t^n f$ given by (31) with $u \in (t_{n\,i-1}, t_{ni}]$. Then $a_u^n \to a_u$ as $n \to \infty$. In the preceding computation, we have assumed that all ν_u are small. When ν_u is not small, μ_{ni} with $u \in (t_{n\,i-1}, t_{ni}]$ may not be small even for large n, so the computation leading to (34)

done for the μ_{ni}-integral is not valid, but we may still take limit $a^n_u \to a_u$ for this term and the result is the same. Since there are only finitely many $u \in J$ for which ν_u are not small, the result holds even when not all ν_u are small. $\square$

4 Proofs of (A) and (B)

Because $q^n(t,\cdot) = q^n(t_{ni},\cdot)$ and $b^n_t = b^n_{t_{ni}}$ if $t_{ni} \le t < t_{n\,i+1}$, and Δ_n contains J as $n \to \infty$, to verify (A) and (B), we may assume s, t in (A) and (B) are contained in $[0,\, T] \cap \Delta_n$.

If either (A) or (B) does not hold, then for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $n_0, m, \delta > 0$, there are $s_n, t_n \in [0,\, T] \cap \Delta_n$ with $n \ge n_0$, $0 < t_n - s_n < \delta$ and $(s_n,\, t_n] \cap J_m = \emptyset$ such that either $q^n(t_n, G) - q^n(s_n, G) \ge \varepsilon$ or $r(b^n_{s_n}, b^n_{t_n}) \ge \varepsilon$. Because the jumps of $q^n(t,G)$ and b^n_t for $t \in (s_n,\, t_n]$ become arbitrarily small when n and m are large, by decreasing t_n if necessary, we may also assume $q^n(t_n, G) - q^n(s_n, G) \le 2\varepsilon$ and $r(b^n_{s_n}, b^n_t) \le 2\varepsilon$ for $s_n \le t \le t_n$.

Letting $\delta \to 0$ and $m \to \infty$ yields a subsequence of $n \to \infty$ such that s_n and t_n in $[0,\, T] \cap \Delta_n$ converge to a common limit, $(s_n,\, t_n] \cap J_{m_n} = \emptyset$ with $m_n \uparrow \infty$, and either

(i) $\varepsilon \le q^n(t_n, G) - q^n(s_n, G) \le 2\varepsilon$ and $r(b^n_{s_n}, b^n_t) \le 2\varepsilon$ for $t \in [s_n,\, t_n]$, or
(ii) $q^n(t_n, G) - q^n(s_n, G) \le 2\varepsilon$, $r(b^n_{s_n}, b^n_{t_n}) \ge \varepsilon$ and $r(b^n_{s_n}, b^n_t) \le 2\varepsilon$ for $t \in [s_n,\, t_n]$.

We will derive a contradiction from (i) or (ii). Let $\varepsilon_n = \sup_{s_n < t \le t_n}[q^n(t,G) - q^n(t-,G)]$. Then $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Let γ_n: $[0,\, \infty) \to [s_n,\, \infty)$ be a strictly increasing continuous function such that $\gamma_n([0,\, 1]) = [s_n,\, t_n]$. Because $q^n(t,G) - q^n(s_n,G)$ is a nondecreasing step function in t, bounded by 2ε on $[s_n,\, t_n]$ with jump size $\le \varepsilon_n$, γ_n may be chosen so that $|q^n(\gamma_n(t), G) - q^n(\gamma_n(s), G)| \le 2\varepsilon|t - s| + \varepsilon_n$ for $s, t \in [0,\, 1]$.

Let $x^{\gamma_n}_t = x^{-1}_{s_n} x_{\gamma_n(t)}$ for $t \le 1$ and $x^{\gamma_n}_t = x^{-1}_{s_n} x_{t_n}$ for $t > 1$. Then $x^{\gamma_n}_t$ is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G starting at e, and on $[0,\, 1]$, it is just the process x_t on $[s_n,\, t_n]$ time changed by γ_n. Because s_n and t_n converge to a common limit, and $(s_n,\, t_n] \cap J_{m_n} = \emptyset$ with $m_n \uparrow \infty$, it follows that $x^{\gamma_n}_t \to e$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly in t a.s.. Define

$$x^{\gamma,n}_t,\ \mu^{\gamma}_{ni},\ \eta^{\gamma}_n(t,\cdot),\ b^{\gamma}_{ni},\ b^{\gamma,n}_t,\ A^{n,\gamma}(t,\cdot),\ q^{\gamma,n}(t,\cdot),\ z^{\gamma,n}_t,\ M^{\gamma,n}_t f,$$

for the time changed process $x^{\gamma_n}_t$ and partition $\Delta^{\gamma}_n = \{s_{ni}\}$, where $s_{ni} = \gamma^{-1}_n(t_{ni})$, in the same way as $x^n_t, \mu_{ni}, \eta_n(t,\cdot), b_{ni}, b^n_t,\ A^n(t,\cdot), q^n(t,\cdot), z^n_t, M^n_t f$ are defined for the process x_t and partition $\Delta_n = \{t_{ni}\}$. Then for $s, t \in [0,\, 1]$,

$$|q^{\gamma,n}(t,G) - q^{\gamma,n}(s,G)| = |q^n(\gamma_n(t),G) - q^n(\gamma_n(s),G)| \ \le\ 2\varepsilon|t-s| + \varepsilon_n. \tag{37}$$

Because $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, the above means that $q^{\gamma,n}(t,G)$ are equi-continuous in t for large n.

Note that we now have a process $x^{\gamma_n}_t$ for each n and from which other objects, such as η^{γ}_n and $A^{\gamma,n}$, are defined, unlike before when we have a single process x_t for all n, but as

$x_t^{\gamma_n} \to e$ uniformly in t, the results established for η_n and A^n hold for η_n^γ and $A^{\gamma,n}$ in simpler forms. For example, because $\eta_n^\gamma(t,\cdot) = \eta_n(\gamma_n(t),\cdot) - \eta_n(s_n,\cdot)$, by Proposition 7, $\eta_n^\gamma(t,f) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for $f \in C_b(G)$ vanishing in near e. Using (37), the proofs of Lemmas 11 and 12 can be easily modified for $A^{\gamma,n}$, and also simplified, to show that there is a covariance matrix function $A^\gamma(t)$ such that $A^{\gamma,n}(t,f) \to f(e)A^\gamma(t)$ for any $f \in C_b(G)$, and under the assumption of Lemma 12,

$$\sum_{s_{ni} \le t} \int_{U^c} F(y^n(s_{n\,i-1}), b_{ni}^\gamma, x)\mu_{ni}^\gamma(dx) \;\to\; 0 \tag{38}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s_{ni} \le t} \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} F_{jk}(y^n(s_{n\,i-1}), b_{ni}^\gamma, x)[\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b_{ni}^\gamma)][\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(b_{ni}^\gamma)]\mu_{ni}^\gamma(dx) \\ \to\;& \sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \int_0^t F_{jk}(y(s), e, e) dA_{jk}^\gamma(s). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Let $D(G)$ be the space of rcll paths $\mathbb{R}_+ \to G$. Equipped with the Skorohod metric, $D(G)$ is a complete separable metric space (see [2, chapter 3]). A rcll process x_t in G may be regarded as a random variable in $D(G)$. A sequence of processes x_t^n is said to converge weakly in $D(G)$ to a process x_t if their distributions converge weakly on $D(G)$ to the distribution of process x_t.

We will show that $z_t^{\gamma,n}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence in $D(G)$. Let U be a neighborhood of e and let σ be a stopping time. The amount of time it takes for a process x_t to make a U^c-displacement from time σ is denoted as τ_U^σ, that is,

$$\tau_U^\sigma = \inf\{t > 0;\;\; x_\sigma^{-1} x_{\sigma+t} \in U^c\} \tag{40}$$

For a sequence of processes x_t^n in G, let $\tau_U^{\sigma,n}$ be the U^c-displacement time for x_t^n from σ.

Lemma 16 *A sequence of processes x_t^n in G have a weakly convergent subsequence in $D(G)$ if for any $T > 0$ and any neighborhood U of e,*

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \sup_{\sigma \le T} P(\tau_U^{\sigma,n} < \delta) \;\to\; 0 \;\text{ as } \delta \to 0, \tag{41}$$

and

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \sup_{\sigma \le T} P[(x_{\sigma-}^n)^{-1} x_\sigma^n \in K^c] \;\to\; 0 \;\; \textit{as compact } K \uparrow G \;\; \textit{(in the topology on } G\textit{)}, \tag{42}$$

where $\sup_{\sigma \le T}$ is taken over all stopping times $\sigma \le T$.

Proof This lemma is proved in [3]. We will provide a different proof as the argument will be used to prove Lemma 24. Let r be a left invariant metric on G as before. As in [2, section 3.6], the measurement of δ-oscillation of a path x in $D(G)$ on $[0, T]$ is given by

$$\omega'(x, \delta, T) = \inf_{\{t_i\}} \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sup_{s,t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)} r(x_t, x_s), \tag{43}$$

where the infimum $\inf_{\{t_i\}}$ is taken over all partitions $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < T \le t_n$ with $\min_{1 \le i \le n}(t_i - t_{i-1}) > \delta$. By Corollary 7.4 in [2, chapter 3], x_t^n have a weakly convergent subsequence in $D(G)$ if for any $T > 0$,

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} P(x_t^n \in K^c \text{ for some } t \le T) \ \to \ 0 \ \text{ as compact } K \uparrow G \ \text{ (in the } r\text{-topology)} \tag{44}$$

and for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} P[\omega'(x_\cdot^n, \delta, T) \ge \varepsilon] \ \to \ 0 \ \text{ as } \delta \to 0. \tag{45}$$

For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the successive stopping times $0 = \tau_0^\varepsilon < \tau_1^\varepsilon < \tau_2^\varepsilon < \cdots < \tau_i^\varepsilon < \cdots$ when a rcll process x_t makes an ε-displacement are defined inductively by

$$\tau_i^\varepsilon = \inf\{t > \tau_{i-1}^\varepsilon; \ \ r(x_t, x_{\tau_{i-1}^\varepsilon}) > \varepsilon\}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, setting $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ and $\tau_i^\varepsilon = \infty$ if $\tau_{i-1}^\varepsilon = \infty$. Let $\tau_i^{\varepsilon,n}$ be the ε-displacement times of the process x_t^n. It is easy to see that

$$[\min_{i\ge 0}\{\tau_{i+1}^\varepsilon - \tau_i^\varepsilon; \ \tau_i^\varepsilon < T\} \ > \ \delta] \quad \text{implies} \quad [\omega'(x, \delta, T) \le 2\varepsilon], \tag{46}$$

and hence $P[\omega'(x, \delta, T) > 2\varepsilon] \le P[\min_{i\ge 0}\{\tau_{i+1}^\varepsilon - \tau_i^\varepsilon; \ \tau_i^\varepsilon < T\} \le \delta]$. Thus, if

$$\forall T > 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0, \quad \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} P[\min_{i\ge 0}\{\tau_{i+1}^{\varepsilon,n} - \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n}; \ \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n} < T\} \ < \ \delta] \ \to \ 0 \ \text{ as } \delta \to 0, \tag{47}$$

then (45) holds for any $T > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $F(t) = \sup_n \sup_{i\ge 0} P(\tau_{i+1}^{\varepsilon,n} - \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n} < t, \ \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n} < T)$. By Lemma 8.2 in [2, chapter 3],

$$F(\delta) \ \le \ \sup_n P[\min_{i\ge 0}\{\tau_{i+1}^{\varepsilon,n} - \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n}; \ \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n} < T\} \ < \ \delta] \ \le \ LF(\delta) + \int_0^\infty e^{-Lt} F(t/L)dt \tag{48}$$

for any $\delta > 0$ and $L = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. It is clear that $\sup_n$ in $F(t)$ and (48) may be replaced by $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty}$. Consequently, (47) is equivalent to

$$\forall T > 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0, \quad \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \sup_{i\ge 0} P(\tau_{i+1}^{\varepsilon,n} - \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n} < \delta; \ \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n} < T) \ \to \ 0 \ \text{ as } \delta \to 0. \tag{49}$$

It is now clear that (49), and hence (47) and (45), are implied by (41) for any neighborhood U of e and $T > 0$. It remains to verify (44). It suffices to show that for any $\eta > 0$, there are a compact $K \subset G$ and integer $m > 0$ such that $P[x_t^n \in K^c \text{ for some } t \le T] \le \eta$ for all

$n \geq m$. Because (41) implies (47), there are $\delta > 0$ and integer $m > 0$ such that for all $n \geq m$, $P(A_n) < \eta/2$, where $A_n = [\min_{i\geq 0}\{\tau^{\varepsilon,n}_{i+1} - \tau^{\varepsilon,n}_i;\ \tau^{\varepsilon,n}_i < T\} < \delta]$. Let $p = [T/\delta]$, the integer part of T/δ. Then on A^c_n, $\min_{i\geq 0}\{\tau^{\varepsilon,n}_{i+1} - \tau^{\varepsilon,n}_i;\ \tau^{\varepsilon,n}_i < T\} \geq \delta$, and hence x^n_t makes at most p displacements of size ε, before time T, between $\tau^{\varepsilon,n}_1, \tau^{\varepsilon,n}_2, \ldots, \tau^{\varepsilon,n}_p$, with possible jumps at these times. By (42), there is a compact $H \subset G$ such that $P(B_{n,i}) \leq \eta/(2p)$ for all $n \geq m$ and $1 \leq i \leq p$, where $B_{n,i} = [(x^n_{\tau-})^{-1}x^n_\tau \in H^c;\ \tau \leq T]$ with $\tau = \tau^{\varepsilon,n}_i$. Let U be the ε-ball around e and let K be a compact subset of G containing $UHUH\cdots UHU = \{u_1h_1u_2h_2\cdots u_ph_pu_{p+1};\ u_i \in U \text{ and } h_i \in H\}$. Then for $n \geq m$,

$$P[x^n_t \in K^c \text{ for some } t \leq T] \ \leq\ P(A_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{p} P(A^c_n \cap B_{n,i}) \ \leq\ \eta. \ \square$$

We will now apply Lemma 16 to the processes $z^{\gamma,n}_t$. Because $z^{\gamma,n}_t$ is constant for $t \geq 1$, to verify the conditions of Lemma 16, it is enough to consider only stopping times $\sigma \leq 1$. Let $f \in C^\infty_c(G)$ be such that $0 \leq f \leq 1$ on G, $f(e) = 1$ and $f = 0$ on U^c. Write τ for the U^c-displacement time for process $z^{\gamma,n}_t$ from a stopping time σ. Let $f_\sigma = f \circ (z^{\gamma,n}_\sigma)^{-1}$. Then

$$P(\tau < \delta) = E[f_\sigma(z^{\gamma,n}_\sigma) - f_\sigma(z^{\gamma,n}_{\sigma+\tau});\ \tau < \delta] \leq E[f_\sigma(z^{\gamma,n}_\sigma) - f_\sigma(z^{\gamma,n}_{\sigma+\tau\wedge\delta})],$$

noting $f_\sigma(z^{\gamma,n}_\sigma) = 1$, $f_\sigma(z^{\gamma,n}_{\sigma+\tau}) = 0$ and $\tau = \tau \wedge \delta$ on $[\tau < \delta]$, where $a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$. Because

$$M^{\gamma,n}_t f = f(z^{\gamma,n}_t) - \sum_{s_{ni}\leq t}\int_G [f(z^{\gamma,n}_{s_{n\,i-1}} b^{\gamma,n}_{s_{n\,i-1}} x (b^\gamma_{ni})^{-1}(b^{\gamma,n}_{s_{n\,i-1}})^{-1}) - f(z^{\gamma,n}_{s_{n\,i-1}})]\mu^\gamma_{ni}(dx) \tag{50}$$

is a martingale for any $f \in C^\infty_c(G)$, and σ and $\sigma + \tau \wedge \delta$ are stopping times,

$$E[M^{\gamma,n}_\sigma f_\sigma - M^{\gamma,n}_{\sigma+\tau\wedge\delta} f_\sigma] = E\{E[M^{\gamma,n}_\sigma f_\sigma - M^{\gamma,n}_{\sigma+\tau\wedge\delta} f_\sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma]\} = 0.$$

Writing z, b, b', μ for $z^{\gamma,n}_{s_{n\,i-1}}, b^{\gamma,n}_{s_{n\,i-1}}, b^\gamma_{ni}, \mu^\gamma_{ni}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tau < \delta) \ &\leq\ -E\{\sum_{\sigma < s_{ni} \leq \sigma+\tau\wedge\delta} \int_G [f_\sigma(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f_\sigma(z)]\mu(dx)\} \\ &\leq\ E\{\sum_{\sigma < s_{ni} \leq \sigma+\delta} |\int_G [f_\sigma(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f_\sigma(z)]\mu(dx)|\}. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Performing the same computation with Taylor's expansion as in the proof of Lemma 15 and noting that μ is small for large n,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_G [f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f(z)]\mu(dx) \\ =\ &\int_{U^c} [f(zbxb'^{-1}b^{-1}) - f(z) - \sum_j f_j(z,b,b')(\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b'))]\mu(dx) \\ &+ \int_U [\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k} f_{jk}(z,b,b')(\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(b'))(\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(b'))]\mu(dx) + \lambda, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where the remainder λ satisfies $|\lambda| \le c_U \mu(\|\phi_\cdot - \phi_\cdot(b')\|^2 1_U)$ with constant $c_U \to 0$ as $U \downarrow \{e\}$. By (51) and (52), $P(\tau < \delta)$ is controlled by

$$E[\eta_n^\gamma(1+\delta, U^c) + q^{\gamma,n}(\sigma+\delta, G) - q^{\gamma,n}(\sigma, G)].$$

Because $\eta_n^\gamma(t,\cdot) \to 0$ weakly outside a neighborhood of e and $q^{\gamma,n}(t,G)$ is equi-continuous in t for large n as expressed by (37), it follows that $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\sigma\le 1} P(\tau < \delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$. This verifies the condition (41) in Lemma 16 for $x_t^n = z_t^{\gamma,n}$.

To verify (42), note that because $x_t^{\gamma,n} = z_t^{\gamma,n} b_t^{\gamma,n}$,

$$P[(z_{\sigma-}^{\gamma,n})^{-1} z_\sigma^{\gamma,n} \in K^c] = P[(x_{\sigma-}^{\gamma,n})^{-1} x_\sigma^{\gamma,n} \in ((b_{\sigma-}^{\gamma,n})^{-1} K b_\sigma^{\gamma,n})^c].$$

Because by either (i) or (ii), $b_t^{\gamma,n}$ are bounded in n, when K is large, $(b_{\sigma-}^{\gamma,n})^{-1} K b_\sigma^{\gamma,n}$ contains a fixed neighborhood H of e. It follows that

$$P[(z_{\sigma-}^{\gamma,n})^{-1} z_\sigma^{\gamma,n} \in K^c] \le P[(x_{\sigma-}^{\gamma,n})^{-1} x_\sigma^{\gamma,n} \in H^c] \le \eta_n^\gamma(1, H^c) \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. This establishes (42) even before taking $K \uparrow G$.

Now by Lemma 16, along a subsequence of $n \to \infty$, $z_t^{\gamma,n}$ converges weakly to a rcll process z_t^γ in $D(G)$. Because $x_t^{\gamma,n} \to e$ uniformly in t, it follows that $b_\cdot^{\gamma,n} = (z_\cdot^{\gamma,n})^{-1} x_\cdot^{\gamma,n}$ converges to $b_\cdot^\gamma = (z_\cdot^\gamma)^{-1}$ in $D(G)$. In particular, this means that $z_t^\gamma = (b_t^\gamma)^{-1}$ is non-random.

The convergence $b_t^{\gamma,n} \to b_t^\gamma$ under the Skorohod metric means (see Proposition 5.3(c) in [2, chapter 3]) that there are continuous strictly increasing functions λ_n: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that as $n \to \infty$, $\lambda_n(t) - t \to 0$ and $r(b_t^{\gamma,n}, b_{\lambda_n(t)}^\gamma) \to 0$ uniformly for $0 \le t \le 1$. If b_t^γ has a jump of size $r(b_{s-}^\gamma, b_s) > 0$ at time s, then $b_t^{\gamma,n}$ would have a jump of size close to $r(b_{s-}^\gamma, b_s)$ at time $t = \lambda_n^{-1}(s)$, which is impossible because the jumps of $b_t^{\gamma,n}$ are uniformly small when n is large. It follows that b_t^γ is continuous in t and hence $b_t^{\gamma,n} \to b_t^\gamma$ uniformly in t as $n \to \infty$. Then the convergence $z_t^{\gamma,n} \to z_t^\gamma$ is also uniform in t.

By (38), (39) and (52), the martingale $M_t^{\gamma,n} f$ given by (50) converges to

$$f(z_t^\gamma) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^d [\mathrm{Ad}(b_s^\gamma)\xi_j][\mathrm{Ad}(b_s^\gamma)\xi_k] f(z_s^\gamma) dA_{jk}^\gamma(s) \tag{53}$$

for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. It follows that $e = z_t^\gamma b_t^\gamma$ and for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, (53) is a martingale. This provides a representation of the trivial Lévy process $x_t = e$ by the Lévy triple $(b^\gamma, A^\gamma, 0)$. By the uniqueness of the Lévy triple, established in [3] (also see Lemma 23 later), $b_t^\gamma = e$ and $A^\gamma(t) = 0$. This is a contradiction because if (i) holds, then $\mathrm{Trace} A^\gamma(1) = \lim_n q^n(t_n, G) \ge \varepsilon$, and if (ii) holds, then $b_1^\gamma = \lim_n b_{t_n}^n$ is at lease ε distance away from e. We now have proved that (A) and (B) must hold.

Because (A) and (B) have been verified, by Proposition 9 and Lemma 15, we have proved that an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t is represented (b, A, η) with $\eta(t,\cdot)$ being its jump intensity measure, and $A(t)$ and b_t given in Lemmas 11 and 14. This is the first part of Theorem 2 except for the uniqueness of (b, A, η).

5 Uniqueness of the triple

Let (b, A, η) be a Lévy triple on G with b_t of finite variation, and let $\nu = \{\nu_t;\ t \geq 0\}$ be a family of probability measures on G such that $\nu_t = \delta_e$ except for countably many $t > 0$, and for any $t > 0$ and neighborhood U of e,

$$\sum_{u \leq t} \nu_u(U^c) < \infty, \quad \sum_{u \leq t} \sum_{j=1}^{d} |\nu_u(\phi_j)| < \infty \ \text{ and } \ \sum_{u \leq t} \nu_u(\|\phi.\|^2) < \infty. \tag{54}$$

A rcll process z_t in G is said to have the martingale property under the quadruple (b, A, η, ν) as described above, or the (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property, if for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(z_t) - \int_0^t \sum_i \xi_i f(z_s) db_i(s) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \xi_j \xi_k f(z_s) dA_{jk}(s) - \int_0^t \int_G [f(z_s x) - f(z_s) \\ - \sum_i \phi_i(x) \xi_i f(z_s)] \eta(ds, dx) - \sum_{u \leq t} \int_G [f(z_{u-} x) - f(z_{u-})] \nu_u(dx) \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

is a martingale under the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^z$ of process z_t, where $b_i(t)$ are the components of b_t. In the sequel, a (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property always refers to a Lévy triple (b, A, η) with b_t of finite variation and a family ν of probability measures ν_t on G satisfying (54).

Recall that $[\mathrm{Ad}(g)]$ is the matrix representing $\mathrm{Ad}(g)$ under the basis $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $[\mathrm{Ad}(g)]'$ be its transpose.

Lemma 17 *If x_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G represented by an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η), and $x_t = z_t b_t$, then z_t has the $(\bar{b}, \bar{A}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\nu})$-martingale property, where $d\bar{A}(t) = [\mathrm{Ad}(b_t)] dA(t) [\mathrm{Ad}(b_t)]'$, $\bar{b}_t$ has components*

$$\bar{b}_i(t) = \int_G \{\phi_i(b_t x b_t^{-1}) - \sum_p \phi_p(x) [\mathrm{Ad}(b_t)]_{ip}\} \eta^c(t, dx), \tag{56}$$

$\bar{\eta}(t, f) = \int_G f(b_t x b_t^{-1}) \eta^c(t, dx)$ and $\bar{\nu}_t(f) = \int_G f(b_{t-} x h_t^{-1} b_{t-}^{-1}) \nu_t(dx)$, where η^c is the continuous part of η and ν_t is given in (5) with mean h_t. Thus, $\bar{\nu}_t = \delta_e$ if $\eta(t, \cdot)$ is continuous at time t.

Proof Formally this follows directly from (10), but we need to verify that $\bar{b}_i(t)$ have finite variation and (54) holds for $\bar{\nu}_t$. Because $e^{\sum_j \phi_j(b_u x b_u^{-1}) \xi_j} = b_u x b_u^{-1} = e^{\sum_j \phi_j(x) \mathrm{Ad}(b_u) \xi_j}$ for $u \leq t$ and x in a neighborhood U of e, the integrand in (56) vanishes in U. Noting $\eta^c(t, U^c) < \infty$, it is now easy to show that $\bar{b}_i(t)$ have finite variation. The first inequality in (54) for $\bar{\nu}$, $\sum_{u \leq t} \bar{\nu}_u(U^c) < \infty$, follows from $\sum_{u \leq t} \nu_u(U^c) < \infty$. The second and the third inequalities in (54), $\sum_{u \leq t} |\bar{\nu}_u(\phi_j)| = \sum_{u \leq t} |\int \phi_j(b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1}) \nu_u(dx)| < \infty$ and $\sum_{u \leq t} \bar{\nu}_u(\|\phi.\|^2) = \sum_{u \leq t} \int \|\phi.(b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1})\|^2 \nu_u(dx) < \infty$, follow from a computation similar to the proof of Lemma 15 using Taylor expansion of $\phi_j(b_{u-} x h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1}) = \phi_j(b_{u-} e^{\sum_p \phi_p(x) \xi_p} h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1})$ at $x = h_u$. □

The following lemma summarizes the martingale characterization and uniqueness theorems in [3, part 3] (pages 80-81).

Lemma 18 *Given a Lévy triple (b, A, η) with b_t of finite variation, there is an inhomogeneous Lévy process z_t in G with $z_0 = e$, unique in distribution, such that it has the $(b, A, \eta, 0)$-martingale property. Moreover, given a rcll process z_t in G, there is at most one Lévy triple (b, A, η) with b_t of finite variation such that the $(b, A, \eta, 0)$-martingale property holds.*

Lemma 19 *If z_t is a rcll process in G having a (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property, then the fixed jumps of z_t are determined by ν, that is, the distribution of $z_{t-}^{-1} z_t$ is ν_t for all $t > 0$.*

Proof Let M_t be the martingale (55). Then $E[M_t - M_{t-} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}^z] = 0$. By (55),

$$\forall f \in C_c^\infty(G), \qquad E\{f(z_t) - f(z_{t-}) - \int_G [f(z_{t-}x) - f(z_{t-})]\nu_t(dx) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}^z\} = 0.$$

Then $E[f(z_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}^z] = \int_G f(z_{t-}x)\nu_t(dx)$, and hence ν_t is the distribution of $z_{t-}^{-1} z_t$. □

Corollary 20 *If x_t is a rcll process in G represented by an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η), then the fixed jumps of x_t are determined by the discontinuous part of η, that is, $x_{t-}^{-1} x_t$ has distribution $\nu_t = \eta(\{t\} \times \cdot) + [1 - \eta(\{t\} \times G)]\delta_e$ for any $t > 0$.*

Proof Let $x_t = z_t b_t$. By Lemma 17, z_t has the $(\bar{b}, \bar{A}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\nu})$-martingale property, and by Lemma 19, the fixed jumps of z_t are determined by $\bar{\nu}$. Then

$$E[f(x_{t-}^{-1} x_t)] = E[f(b_{t-}^{-1} z_{t-}^{-1} z_t b_t)] = \int_G f(b_{t-}^{-1} x b_t)\bar{\nu}_t(dx) = \int_G f(x h_t^{-1} b_{t-}^{-1} b_t)\nu_t(dx) = \nu_t(f)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(G)$, because $b_{t-}^{-1} b_t = h_t$. □

A possible jump of a rcll process z_t at time u may be removed to obtain a new process z'_t defined by $z'_t = z_t$ for $t < u$ and $z'_t = z_{u-} z_u^{-1} z_t$ for $t \geq u$. Jumps may be successively removed at several time points and the resulting process is independent of the order at which these operations are performed.

Lemma 21 *Let z_t be a rcll process in G. Then there is at most one quadruple (b, A, η, ν) such that the (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property holds for z_t.*

Proof By Lemma 19, ν is determined by the process z_t. Let $J = \{t > 0;\ \nu_t \neq 0\} = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots\}$. We will write the martingale in (55) as

$$M_t f = f(z_t) - \int_0^t Hf(z_\cdot) - \sum_{u \leq t} \int_G [f(z_{u-}x) - f(z_{u-})]\nu_u(dx), \tag{57}$$

where $\int_0^t Hf(z_\cdot)$ is the sum of integrals $\int_0^t(\cdots)db_j(s)$, $\int_0^t(\cdots)dA_{jk}(s)$ and $\int_0^t\int_G(\cdots)\eta(ds,dx)$ with bounded integrands. Because $b_i(t)$, $A_{jk}(t)$ and $\eta(t,\cdot)$ are continuous in t, one can show that $\int_0^t Hf(z_\cdot)$ is a bounded continuous function of $z_\cdot$ on $D(G)$ under the Skorohod metric.

Let z_t^1 be the process z_t when its jump at time $u \in J$ is removed. Then for $t \geq v \geq u$,

$$M_t f - M_v f = f(z_t) - f(z_v) - \int_v^t Hf(z_\cdot) - \sum_{v<s\leq t}\int_G [f(z_{s-}x) - f(z_{s-})]\nu_s(dx).$$

Replacing f by $f' = f \circ (z_{u-}z_u^{-1})$ in the above yields

$$M_t f' - M_v f' = f(z_t^1) - f(z_v^1) - \int_v^t Hf(z_\cdot^1) - \sum_{v<s\leq t} [f(z_{s-}^1 x) - f(z_{s-}^1)]\nu_s(dx).$$

Because $E[M_t f' - M_v f' \mid \mathcal{F}_v^z] = 0$, it is then easy to show that $M_t f$ in (57) is still a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^z$ when z_t and J are replaced by z_t^1 and $J - \{u\}$ respectively.

The jumps of z_t at $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ may be successively removed to obtain a new process z_t^n such that $M_t f$ is still a martingale with z_t and J replaced by z_t^n and $J^n = J - \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$, that is, z_t^n has the (b, A, η, ν^n)-martingale property with $\nu^n = \{\nu_u;\, u \in J^n\}$.

We will show that along a subsequence of $n \to \infty$, z_t^n converges weakly under the Skorohod metric to a rcll process z_t' such that $M_t' f$, given by (55) with z_t and J replaced by z_t' and $\emptyset$, is a martingale. This means that z_t' has the $(b, A, \eta, 0)$-martingale property, and then by Lemma 18, (b, A, η) is determined by z_t', which will prove Lemma 21.

We will start with a computation similar to the one in Section 4. Fix $T > 0$ and a neighborhood U of e. Let τ be the U^c-displacement time of process z_t^n from a stopping time $\sigma \leq T$. For $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ with $f(e) = 1$, $f = 0$ on U^c and $0 \leq f \leq 1$ on G, let $f_\sigma(z) = f((z_\sigma^n)^{-1}z)$. Then for $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\tau < \delta) = E[f_\sigma(z_\sigma^n) - f_\sigma(z_{\sigma+\tau}^n);\, \tau < \delta] \leq E[f_\sigma(z_\sigma^n) - f_\sigma(z_{\sigma+\tau\wedge\delta}^n)] \\
= \;& E[M_\sigma^n f_\sigma - M_{\sigma+\tau\wedge\delta}^n f_\sigma] - E[\int_\sigma^{\sigma+\tau\wedge\delta} Hf_\sigma(z_\cdot^n)] \\
& - E\{\sum_{\sigma<u\leq\sigma+\tau\wedge\delta,\, u\in J^n}\int_G [f_\sigma(z_{u-}^n x) - f_\sigma(z_{u-}^n)]\nu_u(dx)\} \\
\leq \;& 0 + \sum_j E\{\int_\sigma^{\sigma+\delta} |\cdots| db_j(s)\} + \sum_{j,k} E\{\int_\sigma^{\sigma+\delta} |\cdots| dA_{jk}(s)\} \\
& + E\{\int_\sigma^{\sigma+\delta}\int_G |\cdots|\eta(ds,dx)\} + E\{\sum_{\sigma<u\leq\sigma+\delta,\, u\in J^n} \Big|\int_G [f_\sigma(z_{u-}^n x) - f(z_{u-}^n)]\nu_u(dx)\Big|\}. \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

Because $b_j(t)$, $A_{jk}(t)$ and $\eta(t,\cdot)$ are continuous in t, and the associated integrands are bounded, the first three nonzero terms in (58) converge to 0 as $\delta \to 0$ uniformly in n and $\sigma \leq T$. By Taylor's expansion, $f(z_{u-}^n x) - f(z_{u-}^n) = \sum_j \xi_j f(z_{u-}^n)\phi_j(x) + O(\|\phi_\cdot\|^2)$ for x in a neighborhood U of e. By (54), it can be shown that the last term in (58) converges to

0 as $n \to \infty$ uniformly in δ and $\sigma \leq T$. It now follows that $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \sup_{\sigma\leq T} P(\tau < \delta) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$, which verifies condition (41) in Lemma 16. Because the jumps of z_t^n are those of z_t,

$$\sup_n \sup_{\sigma\leq T} P[(z^n_{\sigma-})^{-1} z^n_\sigma \in K^c] \;\leq\; \sup_{\sigma\leq T} P[z^{-1}_{\sigma-} z_\sigma \in K^c] \;\leq\; P[z^{-1}_{t-} z_t \in K^c \text{ for some } t \leq T] \;\downarrow 0$$

as compact $K \uparrow G$ by the rcll property of z_t. This verifies condition (42). Now Lemma 16 may be applied to show the weak convergence of z_t^n in Skorohod metric to a rcll process z'_t in G.

Because $\int_0^t Hf(z_\cdot)$ is a bounded continuous function on $D(G)$, for any bounded continuous function $F(z_\cdot)$ on $D(G)$, $E\{[\int_0^t Hf(z^n_\cdot)]F(z^n_\cdot)\} \to E\{\int_0^t Hf(z'_\cdot)]F(z'_\cdot)\}$ as $n \to \infty$. In particular, this holds when F is measureable under $\sigma\{z_u;\, u \leq s\}$ for $s < t$. Because $M_t f$ in (57) is a martingale when z_t and J are replaced by z_t^n and J^n, and the sum $\sum_{u\leq t}$ in (57) with J replaced by J^n converges to 0 as $J^n \to \emptyset$, it follows that $M_t f$ is still a martingale when z_t and J are replaced by z'_t and $\emptyset$. $\square$

To prove the uniqueness of the extended Lévy triple (b, A, η) in Theorem 2, we will need a transformation rule for the martingale property (55) in the lemma below.

Lemma 22 *Let z_t be a rcll process in G having the (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property. If u_t is a drift of finite variation with components $u_i(t)$, then $z_t u_t$ has the $(b^u, A^u, \eta^u, \nu^u)$-martingale property, where $dA^u(t) = [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1})]dA(t)[\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1})]'$, $\eta^u(dt, f) = \int_G f(u_t^{-1} x u_t)\eta(dt, dx)$ and $\nu_t^u(f) = \int_G f(u_{t-}^{-1} x u_{t-})\nu_t(dx)$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(G)$, and b_t^u is given in components by*

$$db_i^u(t) = \sum_p [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1})]_{ip} db_p(t) + du_i(t) + \int_G \{\phi_i(u_t^{-1} x u_t) - \sum_p [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1})]_{ip}\phi_p(x)\}\eta(dt, dx).$$

Proof The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4, approximating u_t by step functions $u_t^n = u_{t_{ni}}$, $t_{ni} \leq t < t_{n\,i+1}$ and applying the (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property for z_t with the function $f_{ni}(z) = f(z u_{t_{ni}})$, $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. We omit the essentially repetitive details. $\square$

Lemma 23 *There is at most one extended Lévy triple (b, A, η) which represents a given inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G as in Theorem 2.*

Proof Suppose two extended Lévy triples (b^1, A^1, η^1) and (b^2, A^2, η^2) represent the same inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G. By Corollary 20, η^1 and η^2 have the same discontinuous part, and hence b_t^1 and b_t^2 have the same jumps. Let $x_t = z_t^1 b_t^1 = z_t^2 b_t^2$. Then $z_t^1 = z_t^2 u_t$, where $u_t = b_t^2 (b_t^1)^{-1}$ is a rcll path in G. Note that $u_t = (z_t^2)^{-1} z_t^1$ is a semimartingale, that is, $f(u_t)$ is a real semimartingale for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Because u_t is non-random, it then follows that u_t has a finite variation. We now show u_t is continuous and so is a drift. Let $x = u_{t-}^{-1} u_t = b_{t-}^1 (b_{t-}^2)^{-1} b_t^2 (b_t^1)^{-1}$, then $(b_t^1)^{-1} x b_t^1 = [(b_{t-}^1)^{-1} b_t^1]^{-1}[(b_{t-}^2)^{-1} b_t^2] = e$ because b_t^1 and b_t^2 have the same jumps. This implies $x = e$ and hence u_t is continuous.

By Lemma 17, z_t^i has the $(\bar{b}^i, \bar{A}^i, \bar{\eta}^i, \bar{\nu}^i)$-martingale property for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 22, z_t^1 also has the $(\bar{b}^{2\,u}, \bar{A}^{2\,u}, \bar{\eta}^{2\,u}, \bar{\nu}^{2\,u})$-martingale property. By Lemma 21, $\bar{b}^1 = \bar{b}^{2\,u}$, $\bar{A}^1 = \bar{A}^{2\,u}$ and $\bar{\eta}^1 = \bar{\eta}^{2\,u}$. Then for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_G f(b_t^1 x (b_t^1)^{-1}) \eta^{1c}(dt, dx) = \bar{\eta}^1(dt, f) = \bar{\eta}^{2\,u}(dt, f) = \int_G f(u_t^{-1} x u_t) \bar{\eta}^2(dt, dx) \\ = & \int_G f(u_t^{-1} b_t^2 x (b_t^2)^{-1} u_t) \eta^{2c}(dt, dx) = \int_G f(b_t^1 x (b_t^1)^{-1}) \eta^{2c}(dt, dx). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\eta^{1c} = \eta^{2c}$ and hence $\eta^1 = \eta^2$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} d\bar{b}_i^{2\,u}(t) &= \sum_j [Ad(u_t^{-1})]_{ij} d\bar{b}_j^2(t) + du_i(t) + \int_G \{\phi_i(u_t^{-1} x u_t) - \sum_j \phi_j(x) [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1})]_{ij}\} \bar{\eta}^2(dt, dx) \\ &= \int_G \{\sum_j [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1})]_{ij} \phi_j(b_t^2 x (b_t^2)^{-1}) - \sum_p \phi_p(x) [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1} b_t^2)]_{ip}\} \eta^{2c}(dt, dx) + du_i(t) \\ &\quad + \int_G \{\phi_i(u_t^{-1} b_t^2 x (b_t^2)^{-1} u_t) - \sum_j \phi_j(b_t^2 x (b_t^2)^{-1}) [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1})]_{ij}\} \eta^{2c}(dt, dx) \\ &= \int_G \{\phi_i(u_t^{-1} b_t^2 x (b_t^2)^{-1} u_t) - \sum_p \phi_p(x) [\mathrm{Ad}(u_t^{-1} b_t^2)]_{ip}\} \eta^{2c}(dt, dx) + du_i(t) \\ &= \int_G \{\phi_i(b_t^1 x (b_t^1)^{-1}) - \sum_p \phi_p(x) [\mathrm{Ad}(b_t^1)]_{ip}\} \eta^{1c}(dt, dx) + du_i(t) = d\bar{b}_i^1(t) \ + \ du_i(t). \end{aligned}$$

Because $\bar{b}^1 = \bar{b}^{2\,u}$, this implies that $u_i(t) = 0$ and hence $b^1 = b^2$. Now $\bar{A}^1 = \bar{A}^{2\,u} = \bar{A}^2$, and with $b^1 = b^2$, it follows that $A^1 = A^2$. The uniqueness of (b, A, η) is proved. □

6 Uniqueness and existence of the process

Lemma 24 *Let x_t^n be a sequence of rcll processes in G as in Lemma 16 and $u_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$. For each n, let $x_t^{n,m}$ be the process obtained from x_t^n when possible fixed jumps at times $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m > 0$ are removed. If for any $T > 0$, $\eta > 0$ and neighborhood U of e, there are $\delta > 0$ and an integer $m \geq 1$ such that*

$$\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \sup_{\sigma \leq T} P(\tau_U^{\sigma,n,m} < \delta) \leq \eta, \tag{59}$$

where $\sup_{\sigma\leq T}$ is taken over all stopping times $\sigma \leq T$ and $\tau_U^{\sigma,n,m}$ is τ_U^σ for process $x_t^{n,m}$, and if (42) also holds, then a subsequence of x_t^n converges weakly in $D(G)$.

Proof Using the notation in the proof of Lemma 16, let $\tau_i^{\varepsilon,n,m}$ be the ε-displacement time τ_i^ε for process $x_t^{n,m}$. By (48) and (59), for any η and $\varepsilon > 0$, there are δ and m such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} P[\min_{i\geq 0}\{\tau_{i+1}^{\varepsilon,n,m} - \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n,m};\ \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n,m} < T\} \leq \delta] \ \leq \ \eta.$$

Then by (46), $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} P[\omega'(x^{n,m}, \delta, T) > 2\varepsilon] \leq \eta$.

The computation of $\omega'(x, \delta, T)$ in (43) is based on the oscillations over partitions that cover $[0, T]$ with spacing $> \delta$, called δ-partitions. Let $J_m = \{u_1, \ldots, u_m\}$. We may assume $\delta < \min\{|u| \wedge |u - v|;\ u, v \in J_m \text{ with } u \neq v\}$, and either $T \in J_m$ or $|T - u| > \delta$ for $u \in J_m$. Suppose there is a δ-partition with oscillation $\leq 2\varepsilon$. Then any interval of this δ-partition contains at most one point in J_m. Because adding more partition points will not increase oscillation, adding J_m to the δ-partition together with the midpoints of intervals that do not intercept J_m, and then suitably combining intervals, we obtain a $(\delta/2)$-partition containing J_m, with oscillation $\leq 4\varepsilon$. Then $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} P[\omega'(x^n, \delta/2, T) > 4\varepsilon] \leq \eta$. This verifies (45).

It remains to verify (44). If $\omega'(x, \delta, T) < \varepsilon$, then there is a δ-partition $\{t_i\}$ with oscillation $< \varepsilon$. This implies that $\tau_1^\varepsilon \notin [0,\ t_1)$ and τ_i^ε belong to different intervals of the partition. Thus

$$\omega'(x, \delta, T) < \varepsilon \implies \min_{i\geq 0}\{\tau_{i+1}^\varepsilon - \tau_{i-1}^\varepsilon;\ \tau_i^\varepsilon < T\} > \delta \quad (\text{setting } \tau_{-1}^\varepsilon = 0). \tag{60}$$

By (45) and (60), $\overline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} P(A_n) \to 0$ as $\delta \to 0$, where $A_n = [\min_{i\geq 0}\{\tau_{i+1}^{\varepsilon,n} - \tau_{i-1}^{\varepsilon,n};\ \tau_i^{\varepsilon,n} < T\} < \delta]$. The rest of proof is very similar to the last part of the proof of Lemma 16. □

Let z_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G having the (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property and let $J = \{u > 0;\ \nu_u \neq 0\} = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots\}$. For $p < q$, let $J_p^q = \{u_1, \ldots, u_p, u_{q+1},\ u_{q+2}, \ldots\}$ and let $z_t^{p,q}$ be the process z_t when its fixed jumps at $u_{p+1}, \ldots, u_q$ are removed. As in the proof of Lemma 21, it can be shown that $z_t^{p,q}$ has the $(b, A, \eta, \nu^{p,q})$-martingale property with $\nu^{p,q} = \{\nu_u;\ u \in J_p^q\}$. Let $J_p = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p\}$.

Lemma 25 *The family of processes, $z_t^{p,q}$ for $p < q$, are weakly compact in $D(G)$. Moreover, along a subsequence of $n \to \infty$, $z_t^{p,n}$ converges weakly to an inhomogeneous Lévy process $z_t'^p$ that has the (b, A, η, ν'^p)-martingale property with $\nu'^p = \{\nu_u;\ u \in J_p\}$.*

Proof Let $z_t^n = z_t^{p_n,q_n}$, where $p_n < q_n$ and $q_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. As in the proof of Lemma 21, but using Lemma 24 instead of Lemma 16, it can be shown that a subsequence of z_t^n converges weakly in $D(G)$ to some z_t'. Note that the last term in (58) now takes the form $\sum_{\sigma<u\leq\sigma+\delta}(\cdots)$ with $u \in \{u_1, \ldots, u_{p_n}, u_{q_n+1}, \ldots\}$, which may not tend to 0 as $n \to \infty$, but we may remove finitely many fixed jumps to make it arbitrarily small. By Lemma 24, one can show that the family of the processes $z_t^{p,q}$, $p < q$, are weakly compact on $D(G)$.

Let $z_t'^p$ be the weak limit of $z_t^{p,n}$ as $n \to \infty$. It is easy to see that $z_t'^p$ is an inhomogeneous Lévy process. We now prove that $z_t'^p$ has the (b, A, η, ν'^p)-martingale property, that is, $M_t f$ given in (57) is a martingale when z_t and J are replaced by $z_t'^p$ and J_p. As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 21, $\int_0^t Hf(z_\cdot)$ in (57) is a bounded continuous function on $D(G)$. It suffices to show that for any $u \in J_p$ and any bounded continuous function $F(z)$ on $D(G)$, $\mathcal{F}_v^z$-measurable for some $v < u$, as $n \to \infty$,

$$E\{F(z_\cdot^{p,n}) \int [f(z_{u-}^{p,n}x) - f(z_{u-}^{p,n})]\nu_u(dx)\} \ \to\ E\{F(z_\cdot'^p) \int [f(z_{u-}'^p x) - f(z_{u-}'^p)]\nu_u(dx)\}. \tag{61}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\phi_\varepsilon \in C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \le \phi_\varepsilon \le 1$, $\phi_\varepsilon(u) = 1$ and $\phi_\varepsilon(t) = 0$ for $|t-u| > \varepsilon$. For any $g \in C(G)$ such that $0 \le g \le 1$ and $g = 0$ near e, let $H_\varepsilon(z_\cdot) = \sum_{t>0} \phi_\varepsilon(t) g(z_{t-}^{-1} z_t)$. Then $\tilde{H}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot) = H_\varepsilon(z_\cdot) \wedge 2$ is a bounded continuous function on $D(G)$ and hence $E[\tilde{H}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot^{p,n})] \to E[\tilde{H}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot'^p)]$ as $n \to \infty$. Because $\tilde{H}_\varepsilon(z) \to g(z_{u-}^{-1} z_u)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, $\tilde{H}_\varepsilon(z) \le \sum_{t \le T, |t-u| \le \varepsilon} g(z_{t-}^{-1} z_t)$ and $E[\sum_{t \le T} g(z_{t-}^{-1} z_t)] \le \sum_{t \le T} \nu_t(g) < \infty$, it follows that $E[\tilde{H}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot^{p,n})] \to \nu_u(g)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ uniformly in n. This implies that $E[g((z_{u-}'^p)^{-1} z_u'^p)] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} E[\tilde{H}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot'^p)] = \nu_u(g)$ and hence the distribution of $(z_{u-}'^p)^{-1} z_u'^p$ is ν_u.

We may assume F and f in (61) are bounded by 1 in absolute values. Let $J_\varepsilon(z_\cdot) = F(z_\cdot) \sum_{t>0} \phi_\varepsilon(t)[f(z_t) - f(z_{t-})] g(z_{t-}^{-1} z_t)$ and let $\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot) = [J_\varepsilon(z_\cdot) \wedge 3] \vee (-3)$, where $a \vee b = \max(a, b)$. Then $\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot)$ is a bounded continuous function on $D(G)$ and hence $E[\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot^{p,n})] \to E[\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot'^p)]$ as $n \to \infty$. Because $\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot) \to F(z_\cdot)[f(z_u) - f(z_{u-})] g(z_{u-}^{-1} z_u)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $|\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot)| \le 2 \sum_{t \le T, |t-u| \le \varepsilon} g(z_{t-}^{-1} z_t)$, it follows that $E[\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot^{p,n})] \to E\{F(z_\cdot^{p,n})[f(z_u^{p,n}) - f(z_{u-}^{p,n})] g((z_{u-}^{p,n})^{-1} z_u^{p,n})\}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ uniformly in n. Then $E\{F(z_\cdot'^p)[f(z_u'^p) - f(z_{u-}'^p)] g((z_{u-}'^p)^{-1} z_u'^p)\} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} E[\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot'^p)] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} E[\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot^{p,n})] = \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} E[\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(z_\cdot^{p,n})]$. Letting $g \uparrow 1_{G - \{e\}}$ proves (61). $\square$

Lemma 26 *The distribution of an inhomogeneous Lévy process z_t in G with $z_0 = e$ having the martingale property under a given quadruple (b, A, η, ν) is unique.*

Proof Because $z_t'^p$ in Lemma 25 has only finitely many fixed jumps, after removing these fixed jumps, it becomes stochastically continuous. By Lemma 18, the distribution of $z_t'^p$ is completely determined by (b, A, η, ν'^p), and hence also by (b, A, η, ν).

By Lemma 25, the processes $x_t^{p,q}$, $p < q$, are weakly compact on $D(G)$. Then by Remark 7.3 in [2, chapter 3], for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a compact $K_\varepsilon \subset G$ such that

$$\forall\ p < q, \qquad P(z_s^{p,q} \in K_\varepsilon \text{ for } s \le t) \ \ge\ 1 - \varepsilon. \tag{62}$$

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ with $|f| \le 1$. We will show that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists$ constant $c_\varepsilon = c_{\varepsilon, f, t} > 0$ such that

$$\forall\ p < q, \qquad |E[f(z_t)] - E[f(z_t^{p,q})]| \ \le\ c_\varepsilon \sum_{i=p+1}^{q} [\sum_{j=1}^{d} |\nu_{u_i}(\phi_j)| + \nu_{u_i}(\|\phi_\cdot\|^2) + \nu_{u_i}(U^c)] + 2\varepsilon \tag{63}$$

for a small neighborhood U of e.

Recall $z_t^{p,q}$ is obtained from z_t after the fixed jumps at times $u_{p+1}, u_{p+2}, \ldots, u_q$ are removed. Let $v_1 < v_2 < \cdots < v_{q-p}$ be the ordered values of these time points and let $m \le q - p$ be the largest integer such that $v_m \le t$. Let $\sigma_i = z_{v_i-}^{-1} z_{v_i}$ and for $u < v$, let $z_{u,v} = z_u^{-1} z_{v-}$ and $z_{u,v}^{p,q} = (z_u^{p,q})^{-1} z_{v-}^{p,q}$. For $x_i \in G$, let $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$. Set $v_0 = 0$ and $z_{u,u} = z_{u,u}^{p,q} = e$, and let $H = \cap_{i=1}^m [z_{0,v_i}^{p,q} \in K_\varepsilon]$. By (62), $P(H) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$. For simplicity, assume $z_t = z_{t-}$.

$$f(z_t) - f(z_t^{p,q}) = f(z_{0,v_1}^{p,q} \sigma_1 z_{v_1,t}) - f(z_{0,v_m}^{p,q} z_{v_m,t}) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} [f(z_{0,v_i}^{p,q} \sigma_i z_{v_i,t}) - f(z_{0,v_i}^{p,q} z_{v_i,t})]$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m}[f(z'\sigma z) - f(z'z)] \quad (z' = z_{0,v_i}^{p,q},\ z = z_{v_i,t} \text{ and } \sigma = \sigma_i)\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m}[f(z'e^{\sum_j \phi_j(\sigma)\xi_j} z) - f(z'z)]1_{[\sigma\in U]} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}[f(z'\sigma z) - f(z'z)]1_{[\sigma\in U^c]}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m}[f(e^{\sum_{j,k}[\mathrm{Ad}(z')]_{kj}\phi_j(\sigma)\xi_k} z'z) - f(z'z)]1_{[\sigma\in U]} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}[f(z'\sigma z) - f(z'z)]1_{[\sigma\in U^c]}\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m}\{\sum_{j,k=1}^{d} \xi_k^r f(z'z)[\mathrm{Ad}(z')]_{kj}\phi_j(\sigma) + O(\|\phi_\cdot(\sigma)\|^2)\}1_{[\sigma\in U]} + \sum_{i=1}^{m}[f(z'\sigma z) - f(z'z)]1_{[\sigma\in U^c]}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Taylor's formula, where $\xi^r f(z) = \frac{d}{dt} f(e^{t\xi}z)\mid_{t=0}$. Note that $z_t^{p,q}$ and H are independent of σ_i, and on H, $z_s^{p,q} \in K_\varepsilon$ and hence $[\mathrm{Ad}(z_s^{p,q})]_{kj}$ are bounded for all $s \le t$. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E[f(z_t) - f(z_t^{p,q})]| &\le |E\{[f(z_t) - f(z_t^{p,q})]1_H\}| + 2\varepsilon\\
&\le c'_\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{m}[\sum_j |\nu_{v_i}(\phi_j 1_U)| + O(\|\phi_\cdot\|^2) + \nu_{v_i}(U^c)] + 2\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c'_\varepsilon > 0$. This proves (63) because $|\nu_u(\phi_j 1_U)| \le |\nu_u(\phi_j)| + (\sup_G |\phi_j|)\nu_u(U^c)$.

By (54), letting first $q \to \infty$ and then $p \to \infty$ in (63) yields $E[f(z_t)] - E[f(z_t'^p)] \to 0$. Because $E[f(z_t'^p)]$ is determined by (b, A, η, ν), so is $E[f(z_t)]$. This proves the uniqueness of the one-dimensional distribution of an inhomogeneous Lévy process z_t having the (b, A, η, ν)-martingale property. To complete the proof, one just need to use the standard argument to derive the uniqueness of distribution from the uniqueness of one-dimensional distribution and the martingale property, see the proof of Theorem 4.2(a) in [2, chapter 4]. Note the setups here and in [2] are not quite the same, but the argument is essentially the same. □

By Lemmas 17 and 26, we obtain the unique distribution for the process x_t in Theorem 2.

Corollary 27 *The distribution of an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G with $x_0 = e$ represented by a given extended Lévy triple is unique.*

Proof of Theorem 2 It remains to prove that given an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η), there is an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G represented by (b, A, η), that is, with $x_t = z_t b_t$, (10) is a martingale under the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ of x_t for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

Let $(\bar b, \bar A, \bar\eta, \bar\nu)$ be as in Lemma 17. Then $(\bar b, \bar A, \bar\eta)$ is a Lévy triple with $\bar b_t$ of finite variation. By Lemma 18, there is a stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy process z'_t having the $(\bar b, \bar A, \bar\eta, 0)$-martingale property. This means that for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, $f(z'_t) - \int_0^t \bar H f(z'_\cdot)$ is a martingale under the natural filtration of z'_t, where $\int_0^t \bar H f(z'_\cdot)$ is the expression $\int_0^t H f(z_\cdot)$ in (57) with $b, A, \eta, z_\cdot$ replaced by $\bar b, \bar A, \bar\eta, z'_\cdot$.

Let $J = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots\}$ be the set of discontinuity time points of η, and let $\{v_u,\ u \in J\}$ be a family of G-valued random variables with distributions ν_u such that they are mutually

independent and independent of process z'_t. For $u = u_1$, and let z^1_t be the process z'_t when the fixed jump $\bar{v}_u = b_{u-}v_u h_u^{-1} b_{u-}^{-1}$ is added at time u, that is, $z^1_t = z'_t$ for $t < u$ and $z^1_t = z'_{u-}\bar{v}_u z'^{-1}_u z'_t$ for $t \geq u$. Then it is easy to show that $f(z^1_t) - \int_0^t \bar{H}f(z^1_\cdot) - 1_{[u\leq t]} \int_G [f(z^1_{u-}x) - f(z^1_{u-})]\bar{\nu}_u(dx)$ is a martingale under the natural filtration of z^1_t. More generally, let z^n_t be the process z'_t when the fixed jumps $\bar{v}_{u_1}, \bar{v}_{u_2}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{u_n}$ are successively added at times $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$. Then

$$f(z^n_t) - \int_0^t \bar{H}f(z^n_s)d\bar{b}(s) - \sum_{u\leq t,\, u\in J_n} \int_G [f(z^n_{u-}x) - f(z^n_{u-})]\bar{\nu}_u(dx) \tag{64}$$

is a martingale under the natural filtration of z^n_t, where $J_n = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n\}$.

As in the proof of Lemma 25, we may use Lemma 24 to show that a subsequence of z^n_t converge weakly in $D(G)$ to a rcll process z_t, and (64) is still a martingale when z^n_t and J_n are replaced by z_t and J. Therefore, with $x_t = z_t b_t$, (10) is a martingale under $\mathcal{F}^z_t = \mathcal{F}^x_t$.

It remains to show that x_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G. Because z^n_t is obtained by adding n independent fixed jumps to the inhomogeneous Lévy process z'_t, so z^n_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process. The same is true for z_t, as the weak limit of z^n_t, and hence true also for $x_t = z_t b_t$. □

7 Results on homogeneous spaces

Let K be a closed subgroup of a Lie group G. The space G/K of left cosets gK, $g \in G$, is called a homogeneous space. It is equipped with the unique manifold structure under which the natural G-action on G/K, $(g, g'K) \mapsto gg'K$ for $g, g' \in G$, is smooth (Theorem 4.2 in [4, chapter II]. Moreover, the natural projection $\pi\colon G \to G/K$, $g \mapsto gK$, is smooth and open.

Let x_t be a Markov process x_t in G/K with rcll paths. It is called G-invariant if its transition function P_t is G-invariant in the sense that

$$\forall t \geq 0,\ g \in G \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{B}_+(X), \qquad P_t(f \circ g) = (P_t f) \circ g.$$

When $K = \{e\}$, such a process becomes a left invariant Markov process in G, that is, a Lévy process in G. Therefore, a rcll G-invariant Markov process in G/K will also be called a Lévy process. More generally, a rcll inhomogeneous Markov process in G/K with a G-invariant transition function $P_{s,t}$, will be called an inhomogeneous Lévy process.

Let X be a manifold under the transitive action of a Lie group G and let $K = \{g \in G;\ go = o\}$ be the fix-point subgroup at a point $o \in X$. Then X may be identified with the homogeneous space G/K via the map $gK \mapsto go$ (Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.3 in [4, chapter II]), under which the G-action on X is identified with the natural G-action on G/K. Although this identification is not unique, as a different o may be chosen, but (inhomogeneous) Lévy processes in X, defined as G-invariant (inhomogeneous) Markov processes, are independent of this identification.

In the rest of this paper, let x_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in $X = G/K$ and let J be the countable set of fixed jump times of x_t. We will assume K is compact.

A measure μ on $X = G/K$ is called K-invariant if $k\mu = \mu$ for $k \in K$, where $k\mu$ is the measure defined by $k\mu(f) = \mu(f \circ k)$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(X)$. Because $P_{s,t}$ is G-invariant, $\mu_{s,t} = P_{s,t}(o, \cdot)$ is a K-invariant probability measure on X, where $o = eK$ is the origin in X.

A Borel measurable map $S\colon X \to G$ is called a section map if $\pi \circ S = \mathrm{id}_X$ (the identity map on X). Such a map is not unique and it may not be continuous on X. However, one may always choose a section map that is smooth near any given point in X. Integrals like

$$\int f(xy)\mu(dy) = \int f(S(x)y)\mu(dy), \qquad \int f(xyz)\mu(dy)\nu(dz) = \int f(S(S(x)y)z)\mu(dy)\nu(dz),$$

are well defined for K-invariant measures μ and ν on X, independent of the choice for the section map S. This is easily verified by the K-invariance of μ and ν, because if S' is another section map, then for any $x \in X$, $S'(x) = S(x)k_x$ for some $k_x \in K$. Note also that $S(S(x)y) = S(x)S(y)k$ for some $k = k(x, y) \in K$, so the second integral above may also be computed as $\int f(S(x)S(y)z)\mu(dy)\nu(dz)$.

A random variable in X is called K-invariant if its distribution is so. It is clear that if x and y are two independent random variables in X with y being K-invariant, then the distribution of $xy = S(x)y$ is independent of the choice for section map S.

By the Markov property and the G-invariance of $P_{s,t}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E[f(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}, \ldots, x_{t_n})] \quad &= \quad \int \mu_0(dx_0)\mu_{0,t_1}(dx_1)\mu_{t_1,t_2}(dx_2)\cdots\mu_{t_{n-1},t_n}(dx_n) \\ &\qquad f(x_0x_1,\, x_0x_1x_2,\, \ldots,\, x_0x_1x_2\cdots x_n) \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

for $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(G^n)$, where μ_0 is the initial distribution.

Proposition 28 *A rcll process x_t in X is an inhomogeneous Lévy process if and only if it has independent increments in the sense that for $s < t$, $x_s^{-1}x_t = S(x_s)^{-1}x_t$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s^x$ and has a K-invariant distribution $\mu_{s,t}$ independent of the choice for the section map S.*

Proof From (65), it is easy to show that $S(x_s)^{-1}x_t$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s^x$ and its distribution $\mu_{s,t}$ is independent of S. Conversely, assume this property, then for $s < t$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(X)$, $E[f(x_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s^x] = E[f(S(x_s)S(x_s)^{-1}x_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s^x] = \int_X f(S(x_s)y)\mu_{s,t}(dy)$. If $\mu_{s,t}$ is K-invariant, then x_t is an inhomogeneous Markov process in X with a G-invariant transition function $P_{s,t}f(x) = \int_X f(S(x)y)\mu_{s,t}(dy)$, and hence x_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in X. □

The proof of Proposition 28 may be slightly modified to show that a rcll process x_t in X is a Lévy process if and only if it has independent and stationary increments. Here the stationary increments mean the distribution of $x_s^{-1}x_t = S(x_s)^{-1}x_t$ depends only on $t - s$.

A measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$, $t \geq 0$, on X is defined just as a measure function on G, that is, as a nondecreasing and right continuous family of σ-finite measures on X. It is called K-invariant if $\eta(t,\cdot)$ is K-invariant for each $t \geq 0$. As on G, a measure function $\eta(t,\cdot)$ on X may be regarded as a σ-finite measure on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times X$ and it may be written as a sum of its continuous part $\eta^c(t,\cdot)$ and its discontinuous part $\sum_{s\leq t} \eta(\{s\} \times \cdot)$ as in (3).

Let Δ_n: $0 = t_{n0} < t_{n1} < t_{n2} < \cdots < t_{ni} \uparrow \infty$ as $i \uparrow \infty$ be a sequence of partitions of $\mathbb{R}_+$ with mesh $\|\Delta_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and assume Δ_n contains J as $n \to \infty$ as before. Let x_t be an inhomogeneous L'evy process in X and let μ_{ni} be the distribution of $x_{t_{n\,i-1}}^{-1} x_{t_{ni}}$ for $i \geq 1$. Define

$$\eta_n(t,\cdot) = \sum_{t_{ni}\leq t} \mu_{ni}, \tag{66}$$

setting $\eta_n(0,\cdot) = 0$. Then η_n is a K-invariant measure function on X.

The proof of Proposition 5 may be repeated on X, regarding $x_s^{-1}x_t$ as $S(x_s)^{-1}x_t$ with the choice of a section map S. Note that with a G-invariant metric r on X, $r(x,y) = r(o, S^{-1}(x)y)$, and hence $S(x_s)^{-1}x_t \in U^c$ for a neighborhood U of o if and only if $r(x_s,x_t) > \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. Then for any $t > 0$ and any neighborhood U of o,

$$\eta_n(t,U^c) \ \text{ is bounded in } n \ \text{ and } \ \eta_n(t,U^c) \downarrow 0 \ \text{ as } U \uparrow X \ \text{ uniformly in } n. \tag{67}$$

For $f \in C_b(X)$, let $\hat{f} = \int_K dk (f \circ k)$, where dk is the normalized Haar measure on K. Then $\hat{f}$ is K-invariant, that is, $\hat{f} \circ k = \hat{f}$ for $k \in K$, and for a K-invariant measure μ on X, $\mu(f) = \mu(\hat{f})$. We note that to show the weak convergence of a sequence of K-invariant measures μ_n on K, it suffices to show the convergence of $\mu_n(f)$ for K-invariant $f \in C_b(X)$.

Let $f \in C_b(X)$ be K-invariant and vanish near o. Then the function $(x,y) \mapsto f(S(x)^{-1}y)$ is independent of the choice for the section map S and is continuous. The proof of Proposition 7 may be repeated to show that as $n \to \infty$,

$$\eta_n(t,f) = \sum_{t_{ni}\leq t} E[f(S(x_{t_{n\,i-1}})^{-1}x_{t_{ni}})] \ \to \ E[\sum_{s\leq t} f(S(x_{s-})^{-1}x_s)].$$

This shows that $\eta(t,\cdot)$ defined by $\eta(0,\cdot) = 0$, $\eta(t,\{o\}) = 0$ and

$$\eta(t,f) = \eta(t,\hat{f}) = E[\sum_{s\leq t} \hat{f}(x_{s-}^{-1}x_s)] \quad \text{for } f \in C_b(G) \text{ vanishing near } o, \tag{68}$$

is a well defined K-invariant measure function on X, independent of the choice of the section map S to represented $x_{s-}^{-1}x_s = S(x_{s-})^{-1}x_s$ in (68), which will be called the jump intensity measure of process x_t. It is clear that $\eta = 0$ if and only if the process x_t is continuous, and $\eta(t,\cdot)$ is continuous in t if and only if x_t is stochastically continuous. Moreover, for any $t > 0$ and $f \in C_b(X)$ vanishing near o, $\eta_n(t,f) \to \eta(t,f)$ as $n \to \infty$.

A point $b \in X$ is called K-invariant if $kb = b$ for all $k \in K$. For $x \in X$ and K-invariant b, the product $xb = S(x)b \in X$ is well defined, independent of choice for the section map S.

Proposition 29 *Let N be the set of $g \in G$ such that $go = \pi(g)$ are K-invariant. Then N is the normalizer of K, that is, $N = \{g \in G;\ gKg^{-1} = K\}$.*

Proof Note that $g \in G$ with go being K-invariant is characterized by $g^{-1}Kg \subset K$. This implies that $g^{-1}Kg$ and K have the same identity component $K_0 = g^{-1}K_0g$. Because K is compact, its coset decomposition $K = K_0 \cup [\cup_{i=1}^p (k_iK_0)]$ is a finite union. Since $g^{-1}Kg = K_0 \cup [\cup_{i=1}^p (g^{-1}k_igK_0)]$ is the coset decomposition of $g^{-1}Kg$, it follows that $g^{-1}Kg = K$. $\square$

By Proposition 29, the set of K-invariant points in X has a natural group structure with product $bb' = S(b)b'$ and inverse $b^{-1} = S(b)^{-1}o$, and the integral

$$\int f(xbyb')\mu(dy) = \int f(S(x)S(b)S(y)b')\mu(dy)$$

makes sense for K-invariant measure μ on X and K-invariant points $b, b' \in X$, independent of the choice of the section map S.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ be respectively the Lie algebras of G and K. Because K is compact, there is a subspace $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ that is complementary to $\mathfrak{k}$ and is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant in the sense that $\mathrm{Ad}(k)\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}$ for $k \in K$. Choose a basis $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ of $\mathfrak{p}$. There are $\phi_1, \phi_2, \ldots, \phi_n \in C_c^\infty(X)$ such that $x = \exp[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x)\xi_i]o$ for x near o. It then follows that

$$\forall k \in K, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x)[\mathrm{Ad}(k)\xi_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(kx)\xi_i \tag{69}$$

for x near o. Replacing ϕ_i by $\phi\phi_i$ for a K-invariant $\phi \in C_c^\infty(X)$ with $\phi = 1$ near o and $\phi = 0$ outside a small neighborhood of o, we may assume (69) holds for all $x \in X$. Then ϕ_i's will be called coordinate functions on X under the basis $\{\xi_i\}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$.

Similar to the definitions given on G, the mean of a random variable x in X or its distribution μ is defined as $b = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(\phi_i)\xi_i}o$. By (69), if μ is K-invariant, then so is b. We will call x or μ small if b has coordinates $\mu(\phi_i)$, that is, $\phi_i(b) = \mu(\phi_i)$ for $1 \le i \le n$.

Any $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a left invariant vector field on G. If ξ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant, that is, if $\mathrm{Ad}(k)\xi = \xi$ for $k \in K$, then $e^{t\xi}o$ is K-invariant and ξ may also be regarded as a vector field on X given by $\xi f(x) = \frac{d}{dt} f(xe^{t\xi}o) \mid_{t=0}$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ and $x \in X$, which is G-invariant in the sense that $\xi(f \circ g) = (\xi f) \circ g$ for $g \in G$. In fact, any G-invariant vector field on X is given by a unique $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant $\xi \in \mathfrak{p}$. Note that if $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant and $b \in X$ is K-invariant, then $\mathrm{Ad}(b)\xi = \mathrm{Ad}(S(b))\xi$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant and is independent of the choice of the section map S. By (69), for any K-invariant measure μ on X, $\int \mu(dx) \sum_i \phi_i(x)\xi_i$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant, and so is $\int \mu(dx) \sum_i \phi_i(x)\mathrm{Ad}(b)\xi_i$ for a K-invariant $b \in X$.

Let $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$. With a choice of section map S, $\xi\eta$ may be regarded as a second order differential operator on X defined by $\xi\eta f(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\, \partial s} f(S(x)e^{t\xi}e^{s\eta}o) \mid_{t=s=0}$. It is shown in [3,

part 2] that $\xi_i\xi_j f(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t\,\partial s} f(S(x)e^{t\xi_i + s\xi_j}o)\mid_{t=s=0} + \sum_{k=1}^n \rho_k^{ij}\xi_k f(x)$ with $\rho_k^{ij} = -\rho_k^{ji}$. Thus, if a_{ij} is an $n\times n$ symmetric matrix, then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j f(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i\,\partial t_j} f(S(x)e^{\sum_p t_p\xi_p}o)\mid_{t_1=\cdots=t_n=0}. \tag{70}$$

The matrix a_{ij} is called $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant if $a_{ij} = \sum_{p,q} a_{pq}[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]_{ip}[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]_{jq}$ for $k\in K$, where $\{[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]_{ij}\}$ is the matrix representing $\mathrm{Ad}(k)$, that is, $\mathrm{Ad}(k)\xi_j = \sum_i [\mathrm{Ad}(k)]_{ij}\xi_i$. Then the operator $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j$ is independent of section map S and is G-invariant. In fact, any second order G-invariant differential operator T on X with $T1 = 0$ is such an operator plus a G-invariant vector field. Note that $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij}[\mathrm{Ad}(b)\xi_i][\mathrm{Ad}(b)\xi_j] = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}[\mathrm{Ad}(S(b))\xi_i][\mathrm{Ad}(S(b))\xi_j]$ is a G-invariant operator on X for any $b\in X$ (independent of S) if a_{ij} is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant.

A drift or an extended drift b, a covariance matrix function A, and a Lévy measure function or an extended Lévy measure function η on $X = G/K$ are defined just as on G, in terms of the coordinate functions ϕ_i on X, with the additional requirement that for each t, b_t and $\eta(t,\cdot)$ are K-invariant, and $A(t)$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant. With these modifications, Lévy triples and extended Lévy triples on X are defined exactly as on G. In particular, for an extended Lévy triple (b,A,η), $b_{t-}^{-1}b_t = h_t$, where $h_t = \exp[\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_t(\phi_i)\xi_i]o$ is the mean of the K-invariant probability measure $\nu_t = \eta(\{t\}\times\cdot) + [1-\eta(\{t\}\times X)]\delta_o$.

A rcll process x_t in $X = G/K$ is said to be represented by an extended Lévy triple (b,A,η) on X if $x_t = z_t b_t$ and for any $f\in C_c^\infty(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_t f &= f(z_t) - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sum_{j,k=1}^n [\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j][\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_k] f(z_s)\,dA_{jk}(s) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t\int_X \{f(z_s b_s x b_s^{-1}) - f(z_s) - \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(x)[\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_j]f(z_s)\}\eta^c(ds,dx) \\ &\quad - \sum_{u\le t}\int_X [f(z_{u-}b_{u-}xh_u^{-1}b_{u-}^{-1}) - f(z_{u-})]\nu_u(dx) \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

is a martingale under the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^x$ of x_t.

By the K-invariance of b_t and $\eta(t,\cdot)$, and the $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariance of $A(t)$, the expression in (71) makes sense. Moreover, by Taylor expansions of $f(z_s b_s x b_s^{-1}) = f(z_s e^{\sum_i \phi_i(x)\mathrm{Ad}(b_s)\xi_i})$ at $x = o$ and $f(z_{u-}b_{u-}xh_u^{-1}b_{u-}^{-1})$ at $x = h_u$, and the properties of $\eta(t,\cdot)$ as an extended Lévy measure function, it can be shown that $M_t f$ given in (71) is a bounded random variable.

Theorem 30 *Let x_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in $X = G/K$ with $x_0 = o$. Then there is a unique extended Lévy triple (b,A,η) on X such that x_t is represented by (b,A,η) as defined above. Moreover, $\eta(t,\cdot)$ is the jump intensity measure of process x_t given by (68). Consequently, x_t is stochastically continuous if and only if (b,A,η) is a Lévy triple.*

Conversely, given an extended Lévy triple (b,A,η) on X, there is an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in G with $x_0 = o$, unique in distribution, such that x_t is represented by (b,A,η).

Proof The theorem may be proved by essentially repeating the proof of Theorem 2 for the corresponding results on G, interpreting a product xy and an inverse x^{-1} on $X = G/K$ as $S(x)y$ and $S(x)^{-1}$ by choosing a section map S as in the preceding discussion, and taking various functions and sets to be K-invariant. As noted in [10], the results in [3] for stochastically continuous inhomogeneous Lévy processes in G hold also on X. In particular, Lemma 18, which summarizes part of [3], holds also on X. Note that because $\mu_{ni} = \mu_{t_{n\,i-1},t_{ni}}$ and $\nu_t(\cdot)$ are K-invariant, their means b_{ni} and h_t are K-invariant, and so is b_t^n. It then follows from (69) that $A^n(t,f)$ is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant for K-invariant $f \in C_b(G)$, and (22) holds on X with $A(t)$ being a covariance matrix function on X. $\square$

Remark 2 As in Remark 1 for the representation on G, $\eta(t,\cdot)$ in Theorem 30 is independent of the choice for the basis $\{\xi_j\}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ and coordinate functions ϕ_j on $X = G/K$, $A(t)$ is independent of $\{\phi_j\}$ and the G-invariant operator $\sum_{j,k=1}^n A(t)\xi_j\xi_k$ on X is independent of $\{\xi_j\}$. However, η may depend on the choice for the origin o in X.

Remark 3 We note that the representation of inhomogeneous Lévy processes in G by a triple (b,A,η) with b_t of finite variation, as stated in Theorem 3, holds also on $X = G/K$ with essentially the same proof, where $b_i(t)$ are components of b_t under the basis $\{\xi_i\}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$.

Because the action of K on X fixes $o = eK$, it induces a linear action on the tangent space T_oX at o. The homogeneous space $X = G/K$ is called irreducible if the K-action on T_oM is irreducible, that is, it has no nontrivial invariant subspace. By the identification of $\mathfrak{p}$ and T_oX via the differential of π: $G \to X$, X is irreducible if and only if $\mathfrak{p}$ has no nontrivial $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant subspace. Because $\pi \circ \exp$: $\mathfrak{g} \to X$ is diffeomorphic from a neighborhood V of 0 in $\mathfrak{p}$ onto a neighborhood U of o in X, the only K-invariant point in U is o. For example, the n-dimensional sphere $S^n = O(n+1)/O(n)$ is irreducible.

Let V above be convex. The coordinate functions ϕ_i on X may be chosen so that $\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x)\xi_i \in V$ for all $x \in X$. Then the mean $b = e^{\sum_i \mu(\phi_i)\xi_i}$ of any distribution μ on X belongs to U. Let X be irreducible. If μ is K-invariant, then so is b and hence $b = o$. Because the extended drift b_t of an inhomogeneous Lévy process in X, represented by (b,A,η), is the limit of b_t^n in (29). it follows that $b_t = o$ for all $t \geq 0$.

As mentioned in [10], on an irreducible X, up to a constant multiple, there is a unique K-invariant inner product on T_oX. This implies that there is a unique $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{p}$, and hence an $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant matrix is a multiple of the identity matrix. To summarize, we record the following conclusion.

Proposition 31 *On an irreducible $X = G/K$, any covariance matrix function $A(t)$ is given by $A(t) = a(t)I$ for some nondecreasing continuous function $a(t)$ with $a(0) = 0$, where I is the identity matrix. Moreover, if the coordinate functions ϕ_i are chosen as above, then the*

extended drift b_t of an inhomogeneous Lévy process in X is trivial, that is, $b_t = o$ for $t \geq 0$.

By (69), for K-invariant $H \subset X$ and K-invariant measure μ on X, $\int_H \mu(dx) \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j(x)\xi_j$ is a K-invariant vector in $\mathfrak{p}$ and hence is 0 by the irreducibility of $X = G/K$. By Proposition 31, $b_t = o$ and $A_t = a(t)I$, and hence $z_t = x_t$. The integral $\int_0^t \int_X (\cdots)\eta^c(ds, dx)$ in (71) is the limit of $\int_0^t \int_{U^c} (\cdots)\eta^c(ds, dx)$ as a K-invariant neighborhood U of o decreases to o, and then the third term of the integrand may be removed. It follows that this integral combined with the sum $\sum_{u \leq t}$ in (71) may be written as $\int_0^t \int_X [f(x_s\tau) - f(x_s)]\eta(ds, d\tau)$, where the integral is understood as the principal value, that is, as the limit of $\int_0^t \int_{U^c} [\cdots]\eta(ds, \tau)$ as a K-invariant neighborhood U of o shrinks to o. By Theorem 30, we obtain the following simple form of martingale representation on an irreducible $X = G/K$.

Theorem 32 *For an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in an irreducible $X = G/K$ with $x_0 = o$, there is a unique pair (a, η) of a continuous nondecreasing function $a(t)$ with $a(0) = 0$ and an extended Lévy measure function $\eta(t, \cdot)$ on X such that*

$$\forall f \in C_c^\infty(X), \qquad f(x_t) - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \xi_j f(x_s) da(s) - \int_0^t \int_X [f(x_s\tau) - f(x_s)]\eta(ds, d\tau) \tag{72}$$

is a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^x$, where $\int_0^t \int_X [\cdots]\eta(ds, d\tau)$ is the principal value as described above and is bounded. Moreover, η is the jump intensity measure of x_t given by (68). Consequently, x_t is stochastically continuous if and only if η is a Lévy measure function.

Conversely, given a pair (a, η) as above, there is an inhomogeneous Lévy process x_t in X with $x_0 = o$, unique in distribution, such that (72) is a martingale under $\mathcal{F}_t^x$.

An inhomogeneous Lévy process g_t in G is called K-conjugate invariant if its transition function $P_{s,t}$ is K-conjugate invariant, that is, if $P_{s,t}(f \circ c_k) = (P_{s,t}f) \circ c_k$ for $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(G)$ and $k \in K$, where c_g: $x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ is the conjugation map on G. Note that when $g_0 = e$, this is equivalent to saying that the process g_t has the same distribution as kg_tk^{-1} for any $k \in K$.

Theorem 33 *Let g_t be a K-conjugate invariant inhomogeneous Lévy process in G with $g_0 = e$. Then $x_t = g_t o$ is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in $X = G/K$. Conversely, if x_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in X with $x_0 = o$, then there is a K-conjugate invariant inhomogeneous Lévy process g_t in G such that processes x_t and $g_t o$ are equal in distribution.*

Proof Let g_t be a K-conjugate invariant inhomogeneous Lévy process in G with $g_0 = e$ and let $x_t = g_t o$. Then for $s < t$ and a section map S on X, $S(x_s) = g_s k_s$ for some $\mathcal{F}_s^g$-measurable $k_s \in K$, and $S(x_s)^{-1}x_t = k_s^{-1}g_s^{-1}g_t o \stackrel{d}{=} g_s^{-1}g_t o$ (equal in distribution because of K-conjugate invariance of g_t). This shows that $S(x_s)^{-1}x_t$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s^g$ and its distribution is independent of S. By Proposition 28, x_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in X.

Now let x_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in X with $x_0 = o$, represented by an extended Lévy triple (b, A, η) on X. We will define an extended Lévy triple $(\bar{b}, \bar{A}, \bar{\eta})$ on G from (b, A, η). Choose a section map S on X such that $S(x) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x)\xi_i} o$ for x contained in a K-invariant neighborhood U of o. By (69),

$$\forall k \in K \text{ and } x \in U, \quad kS(x)k^{-1} = S(kx). \tag{73}$$

The basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ is now extended to be a basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\{\xi_{n+1}, \dots, \xi_d\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{k}$. Let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d \in C_c^\infty(G)$ be the associated coordinate functions on G, that is, $g = e^{\sum_{i=1}^d \psi_i(g)\xi_i}$ for g near e. Because $kgk^{-1} = e^{\sum \psi_i(g)\mathrm{Ad}(k)\xi_i}$,

$$\forall k \in K, \quad \sum_{i=1}^d (\psi_i \circ c_k)\xi_i = \sum_{i=1}^d \psi_i[\mathrm{Ad}(k)\xi_i] \tag{74}$$

holds near e. Replacing ψ_i by $\psi\psi_i$ for a K-conjugate invariant $\psi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that $\psi = 1$ near e and $\psi = 0$ outside a small neighborhood of e, we may assume (74) holds on G.

Because for x near o, $e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(x)\xi_i} = S(x) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^d \psi_i(S(x))\xi_i}$, it follows that

$$\psi_i \circ S = \phi_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_i \circ S = 0, \quad n+1 \le i \le d \tag{75}$$

holds near o. The ψ_i may be modified outside a neighborhood of e so that (75) holds on X and (74) still holds on G. This may be accomplished by replacing ψ_i by $(\phi\circ\pi)\psi_i + (1-\phi\circ\pi)(\phi_i\circ\pi)$ for $i \le n$ and by $(\phi \circ \pi)\psi_i$ for $i > n$, where ϕ is a K-invariant smooth function on X such that $\phi = 1$ near o and $\phi = 0$ outside a small neighborhood of o.

Let $\bar{h}_t = e^{\sum_j \nu_t(\phi_j)\xi_j}$. Then $\pi(\bar{h}_t) = b_{t-}^{-1} b_t$. By (69), $\bar{h}_t$ is K-conjugate invariant. There is a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n \uparrow \infty$ such that $b_{t_i}^{-1} b_t \in U$ for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$. Define $\bar{b}_t = S(b_t)$ for $t < t_1$ and $\bar{b}_{t_1} = \bar{b}_{t_1-}\bar{h}_{t_1}$, and inductively let $\bar{b}_t = \bar{b}_{t_i} S(b_{t_i}^{-1} b_t)$ for $t_i \le t < t_{i+1}$ and $\bar{b}_{t_{i+1}} = \bar{b}_{t_{i+1}-}\bar{h}_{t_{i+1}}$. Then $\bar{b}_t$ is a K-conjugate invariant extended drift in G with $\pi(\bar{b}_t) = b_t$. Let $\bar{A}(t)$ be the $d \times d$ matrix given by $\bar{A}_{ij}(t) = A_{ij}(t)$ for $i, j \le n$ and $\bar{A}_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Then $\bar{A}(t)$ is a covariance matrix function on G that is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariant, that is, $[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]\bar{A}(t)[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]' = \bar{A}(t)$ for $k \in K$, where $[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]$ is the matrix representing the linear map $\mathrm{Ad}(k)\colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ under the basis $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d\}$. For $f \in \mathcal{B}_+(G)$, let

$$\bar{\eta}(t, f) = \int_X \int_K f(kS(x)k^{-1}) dk \eta(t, dx). \tag{76}$$

Then $\bar{\eta}(t, \cdot)$ is a K-conjugate invariant measure function. Its continuous part is $\bar{\eta}^c(t, f) = \int\int f(kS(x)k^{-1}) dk \eta^c(t, dx)$ and for each $t > 0$, $\bar{\nu}_t = \bar{\eta}(\{t\}, \cdot) + [1 - \bar{\eta}(\{t\}, G)]\delta_e$ is given by $\bar{\nu}_t(f) = \int\int f(kS(x)k^{-1}) dk \nu_t(dx)$.

By (69) and (75) on X, and (74) on G, $\sum_{j=1}^d \bar{\nu}_t(\psi_j)\xi_j = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_X \int_K [\psi_j(kS(x)k^{-1})\xi_j] dk \nu_t(dx) = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_X \int_K \{\psi_j(S(x))[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]\xi_j\} dk \nu_t(dx) = \sum_{j=1}^n \int_X \{\int_K \phi_j(x)[\mathrm{Ad}(k)]\xi_j\} dk \nu_t(dx) = \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_t(\phi_j)\xi_j$. This shows that $\bar{h}_t = e^{\sum_j \nu_t(\phi_j)\xi_j}$ defined earlier is the mean of $\bar{\nu}_t$.

We now show that $\bar{\eta}$ is an extended Lévy measure function on G by checking $\bar{\eta}^c(t, \|\psi_\cdot\|^2) < \infty$ and $\sum_{u\le t} \bar{\nu}_u(\|\psi_\cdot - \psi_\cdot(\bar{h}_u)\|^2) < \infty$, where $\|\psi_\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm of $\psi_\cdot = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_d)$. Let $V = \pi^{-1}(U)$. Then $\bar{\eta}(t, V^c) = \eta(t, U^c) < \infty$. By (73) and (75), the first condition follows from $\bar{\eta}^c(t, \|\psi_\cdot\|^2 1_V) = \eta^c(t, \|\phi_\cdot\|^2 1_U) < \infty$. To verify the second condition, we may assume all $\bar{\nu}_t$ are small, then $\psi_i(\bar{h}_t) = \bar{\nu}_t(\psi_i)$ and the second condition may be written as $\sum_{u\le t} \int_G \bar{\nu}_u(dx) \| \int_G \bar{\nu}_u(dy)[\psi_\cdot(x) - \psi_\cdot(y)]\|^2 < \infty$. Because $\sum_{u\le t} \bar{\nu}_u(V^c) = \sum_{u\le t} \nu_u(U^c) < \infty$, this is equivalent to $\sum_{u\le t} \int_V \bar{\nu}_u(dx) \| \int_V \bar{\nu}_u(dy)[\psi_\cdot(x) - \psi_\cdot(y)]\|^2 < \infty$, but this is the same as $\sum_{u\le t} \int_U \nu_u(dx) \| \int_U \nu_u(dy)[\phi_\cdot(x) - \phi_\cdot(y)]\|^2 < \infty$, which holds by (8).

Now let g_t be an inhomogeneous Lévy process in G with $g_0 = e$, represented by the extended Lévy triple $(\bar{b}, \bar{A}, \bar{\eta})$. Write $g_t = \bar{z}_t \bar{b}_t$ and for $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$, let $\bar{M}_t f(\bar{z}_\cdot)$ be the martingale given in (10) with (b, A, η) and ϕ_i replaced by $(\bar{b}, \bar{A}, \bar{\eta})$ and ψ_i. By the K-conjugate invariance of $\bar{b}$ and $\bar{\eta}$, the $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$-invariance of $\bar{A}$, and (74), it is easy to show that for $k \in K$, $\bar{M}_t(f \circ c_k)(\bar{z}_\cdot) = \bar{M}_t f(k\bar{z}_\cdot k^{-1})$. This means that $kg_tk^{-1} = k\bar{z}_t k^{-1}\bar{b}_t$ is also represented by $(\bar{b}, \bar{A}, \bar{\eta})$. By the unique distribution in Theorem 2, g_t and kg_tk^{-1} have the same distribution as processes, and hence g_t is K-conjugate invariant.

Let $x'_t = g_t o$. By the first part of Theorem 33 which has been proved, x'_t is an inhomogeneous Lévy process in X. We may write $x'_t = z_t b_t$ with $z_t = \bar{z}_t o$. By the construction of $(\bar{b}, \bar{A}, \bar{\eta})$ from (b, A, η), it can be shown that $\bar{M}_t(f \circ \pi)(\bar{z}_\cdot)$ is the martingale in (71). This shows that x'_t is represented by (b, A, η). By the unique distribution in Theorem 30, x'_t and x_t are equal in distribution. $\square$

Acknowledgment Helpful comments from David Applebaum and an anonymous referee have led to a considerable improvement of the exposition.

References

[1] Applebaum, D. and Kunita, H., "Lévy flows on manifolds and Lévy processes on Lie groups", J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 33, pp 1105-1125 (1993).

[2] Ethier, S.N. and Kurtz, T.G., "Markov processes, characterization and convergence", John Wiley (1986).

[3] Feinsilver, P., "Processes with independent increments on a Lie group", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 242, 73-121 (1978).

[4] Helgason, S., "Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces", Academic Press (1978).

[5] Heyer, H. and Pap, G., "Martingale characterizations of increment processes in a locally compact group", in Infin. Dime. Anal. Quantum Probab. Rel. Top. 6, 563-595 (2003).

[6] Hunt, G.A., "Semigroups of measures on Lie groups", Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 81, pp 264-293 (1956).

[7] Jacod, J. and Shiryaev, A.N., "Limit theorems for stochastic processes", second ed., Springer (2003).

[8] Kallenberg, O., "Foundations of modern probability", Springer-Verlag (1997).

[9] Liao, M., "Lévy processes in Lie groups", Cambridge Univ. Press (2004).

[10] Liao, M., "A decomposition of Markov processes via group actions", J. Theoret. Probab. 22, pp 164-185 (2009).

[11] Pap, G., "General solution of the functional central limit problems on a Lie group", in Infin. Dime. Anal. Quantum Probab. Rel. Top. 7, 43-87 (2004).

[12] Siebert, E., "Continuous hemigroups of probability measures on a Lie group", in Lect. Notes Math. 928, 362-402, Springer (1982).

[13] Stroock, D.W. and Varadhan, S.R.S., "Limit theorems for random walks on Lie groups", Sankhyā: Indian J. of Stat. Ser. A, 35, pp 277-294 (1973).

[14] Sato, K., "Lévy processes and infinitely devisible distributions", translated from 1990 Japanese original and revised by the author, Cambridge Studies Adv. Math. 68, Cambridge Univ. Press (1999).

Department of Mathematics, Auburn University, Auburn, AL 36849, USA.
Email: liaomin@auburn.edu